



## Low Frequency Wave Measurements Onboard C/NOFS During the 2008-2009 Solar Minimum - implications for lightning studies -

F. Simões<sup>1</sup>, R. Pfaff<sup>1</sup>, K. Bromund<sup>1</sup>, H. Freudenreich<sup>1</sup>, J. Klenzing<sup>1</sup>, M.C. Liebrecht<sup>1</sup>, S. Martin<sup>1</sup>, D. Rowland<sup>1</sup>, P. Uribe<sup>1</sup>, T. Yokoyama<sup>1</sup>, S. Ivanov<sup>2</sup>, D. Bilitza<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NASA/GSFC, Heliophysics Science Division, Space Weather Laboratory, Greenbelt, Maryland
 <sup>2</sup>Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia
 <sup>3</sup>NASA/GSFC, Heliophysics Science Division, Heliospheric Physics Laboratory, Greenbelt, Maryland
 <sup>4</sup>George Mason University, Space Weather Laboratory, Fairfax, Virginia

fernando.a.simoes@nasa.gov

## Outline

### • C/NOFS (Communications/Navigation Outage Forecasting System) satellite

• VEFI measurements: sensitivity and operating modes

# • Extremely Low Frequency (ELF) electric field measurements

- Schumann Resonance (SR)
- Ionospheric Alfvén Resonator (IAR)

### SR and IAR modeling - consequences for tropospheric-ionospheric coupling mechanisms

### Relevance for lightning studies

• Correlation between Communications/Navigation Outage Forecasting System (C/NOFS) satellite and ground based measurements

### Summary

### **Vector Electric Field Instrument (VEFI)**



VEFI – includes 3 electric field dual probes to perform low frequency measurements

Boom size: 10 m Dipole effective length: ~ 20 m Sampling: 512 s<sup>-1</sup> Sensitivity: ~10 nVm<sup>-1</sup>Hz<sup>-1/2</sup> (ELF range) E12 is 'parallel' to B E34, E56 are 'perpendicular' to B

Altitude: 400-850 km Inclination: 13° Orbit period: ~97 min

Concerning VEFI ELF measurements, only E34 and E56 waveforms are available in a regular basis

VEFI also includes two optical lightning detectors

### **Schumann Resonance Measurements**



CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM

### Schumann Resonance – a Global Picture



05/15

CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM

## Schumann Resonance – a Global Picture

• Models predicted that Schumann resonances should not be detected from space (the upper boundary of the cavity would be a perfect reflector at about ~100 km altitude);

• VEFI detected up to 10 peaks; the frequencies are corroborated by ground measurements;

• Leakage mechanism is still uncertain (waves are possibly propagating in the ordinary or extraordinary mode, i.e., wave vector perpendicular to the geomagnetic field);

- Peaks are visible during nighttime and preferentially at low altitude;
- Q-factor is ~5 in line with values reported on the ground;

• This result has major implications for planetary science studies (Schumann resonances may be used to constrain the parameterization of the solar system);

• The Schumann resonance amplitude seems correlated to lightning activity, corroborating ground measurements known as 'Q-burst enhancements' (strong thunderstorm activity);

• Ground based measurements of Schumann resonance transients have been connected to sprites (boccippio et al., 1995).

# Lightning activity can be inferred from orbit with optical sensors and also <u>ELF electric field measurements</u>



CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM



CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM





**Typical low latitude profiles** 





# C/NOFS ELF 'Fingerprint' - Facts

- Frequency range: 0.1-30 Hz
- Up to 20 peaks are seen
- Q-factor is ~15
- ∆*f* ~ 0.5-2.5 Hz

Signature of the Ionospheric Alfvén Resonator

- Amplitude is stronger in the zonal/horizontal component
- Signature seen during nighttime and close to the terminator
- $\Delta f$  gradient is usually steeper close to the terminator
- Seen preferentially at low altitude
- Seen off the magnetic equator only
- Often seen in consecutive orbits
- Seen in different seasons

### Implications for lightning studies:

A narrow event in orbit 667 matches the background  $\Delta f$  and shows Q~30; amplitude sudden variation occurs at ~15 Hz

### Frequency

- 🗹 Q-factor
- Electric field
- Diurnal variation

# IAR Characterization: Ongoing work

- Coupling (shear Alfvén + magnetosonic)
- Losses (Pedersen, Hall, transverse heterogeneity)
- Effect of altitude (position in the resonator)
- Effect of magnetic latitude (off the magnetic equator)

### ? Latitude

- **?** Electromagnetic source (lightning vs. Geomagnetic pulsations)
- ? Effect of hiss
- ? Effect of terminator
- ? Real ionosphere + IRI modeling

### **Wave vector**

13/15

- Magnetic field
- Seasonal variation

# Summary

C Lightning is possibly a major source to IAR excitation; if so, IAR signatures would provide additional means to investigate the impact of individual lightning strokes in the ionosphere;

C/NOFS ELF measurements contribute to investigate lightning activity from space;

Correlation between optical and ELF electric field is possible, contributing to lightning activity assessments at local and global scales;

✓ SR measurements of cavity leakage contribute to assessing global characteristics of lightning-thunderstorm distribution;

### References (SR:IAR)

#### SR:

#### Prediction

Schumann (1952), On the free oscillations of a conducting sphere which is surrounded by an air layer and an ionosphere shell, *Z. Naturforsch.* **A7**, 149–154 (in German)

#### First Observation on the ground

Balser and Wagner (1960), Observations of Earth-ionosphere cavity resonances, Nature 188, 638–641

#### First Observation from space

Simões et al., submitted

#### Modeling

Sentman (1990), J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys. **52**, 35-46 Simões et al. (2007), Planet. Space Sci. **55**, 1978–1989, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2007.04.016 Simões et al. (2009), Geophys. Res. Lett. **36**, L14816, doi:10.1029/2009GL039286

#### Link to TLE's

Boccippio et al. (1995), Sprites, ELF transients, and positive ground strokes, Science 269, 1088-1091

#### IAR:

#### Prediction

Polyakov (1976), On properties of an ionospheric Alfvén resonator, in symposium KAPG on Solar-Terrestrial physics, 72-73

#### First observation on the ground

Belyaev et al. (1990), The ionospheric Alfvén resonator, J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys. 52, 781-788

#### First observation from space

In preparation

#### Modeling

Lysak (1999), J. Geophy. Res. 104, 10017-10030

#### Link to lightning

TLE's - Sukhorukov and Stubbe (1997), *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **24**, 829-832 (1997) Lightning - Surkov et al. (2006), *J. Geophys. Res.-Space* **111**, A01303, doi:10.1029/2005JA011320 Geomagnetic pulsations - Demekhov et al. (2000), *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **27**, 3805-3808

### Acknowledgements

We thank all C/NOFS partners for such a fruitful collaboration and for providing outstanding data. Two of us (FS and JK) acknowledge the NASA Postdoctoral Program that is administered by the Oak Ridge Associated Universities.



**Auxiliary Material** 

$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon}\right)Q = -V^2 \frac{\partial J}{\partial z} \mp \frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon}M$		$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon}\right) M = -V^2 \nabla^2 B_z \pm \frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon} Q$
$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(1-\lambda^2\nabla_{\perp}^2)J = -\frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} + \eta_{\parallel}\nabla_{\perp}^2J$		$\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} = -M$
$\sigma_P = \sum_s \frac{N_s q_s^2}{m_s} \frac{\nu_s}{\nu_s^2 + \Omega_s^2}$		$\sigma_{H} = -\sum_{s} \frac{N_{s}q_{s}^{2}}{m_{s}} \frac{\Omega_{s}}{\nu_{s}^{2} + \Omega_{s}^{2}}$
$V = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\varepsilon/\varepsilon_o}}$		$V_A = \frac{B_{oz}}{\sqrt{\mu_o \rho}}$
$\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon_o \left( 1 + \sum_s \frac{\omega_{ps}^2}{\nu_s^2 + \Omega_s^2} \right) = \varepsilon_o \left( 1 + \frac{c^2}{V_A^2} \sum_s \frac{m_s/M_{ave}}{1 + \nu_s^2/\Omega_s^2} \right)$		
$Q = \nabla_{\perp} \cdot E_{\perp}$		$M = (\nabla_{\perp} \times E_{\perp}) \cdot \hat{z}$
$J = (\nabla_{\perp} \times B_{\perp}) \cdot \hat{z}$		$B_o = \overline{+}B_{oz}\hat{z}$
$\lambda = \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}}$	$\sigma_{\parallel} = \frac{N_e q_e^2}{m_e v_e}$	$\eta_{\parallel} = \nu_e \lambda^2 = \frac{1}{\mu_o \sigma_{\parallel}}$

Lysak (1999)

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} Q = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} M = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} Q = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} M = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) Q = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) M = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} Q = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{V^2} M = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) Q = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) M = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) Q = \mp \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon} M$$

 $\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) M = \pm \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon} Q$ 

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\nu}\right)\left(\alpha' - \frac{\alpha\sigma'_{\parallel}}{\sigma_{\parallel}}\right) + i\frac{\omega\alpha\nu'}{\nu^2}}{\mu_o\sigma_{\parallel}\beta} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} + \frac{\beta}{V^2}\left(-i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon}\right)Q = \mp \frac{\beta}{V^2}\frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon}M$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 M}{\partial z^2} + \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \left( -i\omega + \frac{\sigma_P}{\varepsilon} \right) M = \pm \frac{i\omega}{V^2} \frac{\sigma_H}{\varepsilon} Q$$

$$\beta = i\omega + \frac{\alpha}{\mu_o \sigma_{\parallel}} \left(1 - i\frac{\omega}{\nu}\right)$$

C.

UL

CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM

C.

**Typical low latitude profiles** 







Alfvén Velocity Profile:  $(V_A^2(z) = V_A^{2min} / (\varepsilon^2 + e^{-z/H}))$ 

**Resonator Eigenfrequencies:** 

 $f_n = V_A(n + 1/4)/2(L + H) \Delta f = V_A/2(L + H)$ 

**Quality Factor:** 

 $Q_n = (1 + L/H)/\pi\varepsilon$ 

Upper Boundary Transparency Condition:  $\pi \epsilon \omega H / V_A < 1$ 





CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM



CEDAR-GEM, June 26 - July 1, Santa Fe, NM

### **Ground- and Space-Based Observations**



First ground observation (mid latitude – Gorky, Ukraine) Belyaev et al. (1990)

### **Ground- and Space-Based Observations**



### **Ground- and Space-Based Observations**



# Summary

C/NOFS unexpectedly detected Schumann resonance (SR) from space (up to 10 peaks are observed and confirmed by ground measurements)
 Previous models predicted that SR should remain confined to the Earth-ionosphere cavity (cavity leakage mechanism remains uncertain)
 Space-based SR measurements offer a new remote sensing technique for planetary science applications

 <sup>•</sup> C/NOFS detected signatures of the Ionospheric Alfvén Resonator (IAR) - up to

 20 lines are observed

IAR shows peculiar variations with altitude, magnetic latitude, and local time
 IAR can be used for ionospheric monitoring, namely for checking the IRI model accuracy

1 Investigating seasonal variations of IAR and SR is the next logical step

<sup>(1)</sup> Simultaneous measurements of V12, V34, V56 at 512 S/s during a few days are recommended to constrain both the cavity leakage mechanism (SR) and propagation in the resonator (IAR)

**Yes, we can estimate TEC and put constraints on the ion density profile**