

Longitudinal TEC differences during the 2010 SSW period in the observations and the TIME-GCM

Astrid Maute, Larisa Goncharenko, Maura Hagan, Ray Roble, Fabrizio Sassi, Nick Pedatella, Hanli Liu



High Altitude Observatory (HAO) – National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)

The National Center for Atmospheric Research is operated by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research under sponsorship of the National Science Foundation. An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer.

Objective:

- Asses two TIMEGCM simulations of the 2010 SSW periods
- Compare longitudinal differences of TEC in the data and the model

Observations: GPS TEC

2010 SSW simulations: TIMEGCM with time varying high latitude forcing

- With daily averaged ECMWF and GSWM09 tidal climatology at lower boundary
- Nudged TIMEGCM zonal mean with WACCM/NOGAPS results, and tidal specification from WACCM/NOGAPS simulation at the lower boundary



PW1 amplitude in UN during Jan/Feb 2010



PW1 amplitude in zonal wind at 32 km



Zonal mean temperature and zonal wind at 32 km for Jan/Feb 2010



TIMEGCM nudged by WACCM/NOGAPS



Zonal mean Un [m/s] avg.70-83° glat.



CEDAR workshop

Vertical drift at Jicamarca for January 2010

TIMEGCM with ECMWF Polar jet reversal 20 and GSWM09 tidal climatology 16 12 Kp=4 8 4 Kp=0 0 10 20 30 50 0 40 TIMEGCM nudged by WACCM/NOGAPS 20 16 Increased day-to-day variability in TIMEGCM/WACCM 12 •Decrease in vertical drift after day 8 30 •After day 40 daytime peak moves 4 to earlier local times 0 •Effects from geomagnetic activity 10 20 30 50 0 40 and/or SSW? -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40



DOY

EIA TEC at -75° glon. for Jan/Feb 2010



CEDAR workshop

June 2013

Average EIA TEC at 40° glon. for Jan/Feb 2010



Peak TEC at -75° geog. longitude for January 2010



•Observations show a stronger response in NH TEC than SH TEC.

•TEC increase in observation is larger (~50% after day 40) than in simulations (~30% after day 40).

•In the simulations the magnitude of the TEC response seems to be more hemispherically symmetric.







•As for -75° geog.lon. the observed NH TEC is stronger than in the SH. There is no significant increase in observed TEC in the SH.

•The simulations show similar TEC variation at 120°, 40° and -75° geog. longitude with a similar TEC increase after day 40 in the NH and SH.





<u>Summary</u>

•In 2010 the SSW signal in the simulation is not very obvious which might be due to the underlying changes in solar radio flux and geomagnetic activity.

•Interestingly, for 2010 the simulation shows a decrease in low latitude vertical drift after day 30, but an increase in NmF2.

•Although for the 2010 simulations the forcing at the lower boundary, and the background atmosphere is very different between the ECMWF/GSWM09 and the WACCM/NOGAPS, but it seems that in the ionosphere the differences are smaller.

•The NH EIA peak of GPS TEC observations in 2010 show an increase after day 40. The magnitude of this increase is largest at -75° and 120° geog. Longitude, and smaller at 40° geog. longitude.

•The NH EIA peak in the GPS TEC is larger than the SH peak, especially at 40° geog. Longitude.

•The simulated EIA TEC peaks do not show the hemispherically asymmetry and the strong longitudinal differences.

