

Effects of 6300 Å Airglow Altitude Variations

Dustin A. Hickey National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate resident at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., USA dustin.hickey.ctr@nrl.navy.mil

Collaborators:

V. Lakshmi Narayanan, National Atmospheric Research Laboratory, Gadanki, India

Sukanta Sau, Indian Institute of Geomagnetism, Navi Mumbai, India

U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY 6300 Å Airglow Altitude: 250 km?



Earth Science and Remote Sensing Unit, NASA Johnson Space Center, ISS043-E-3310

Determining Airglow Altitude

O⁺ + O₂ -> O₂⁺ + O
O₂⁺ + e⁻ -> O + O^{*}
O^{*} -> O + 6300 Å

U.S. NAVAL

RESEAR LABORATORY

- Peak emission is usually about 50 km below F peak
- Emission is proportional to electron density and height
- **Measurements of the** background neutrals, ions, and electrons are needed to fully compute the emission profile



- Integrated gives rayleighs
- 1 R = 10^6 photons/cm²/s

All-sky imager field of view



- All-sky imager (ASI) fields of view can cover a diameter of about 2000 km
- Fabry-Perot Interferometers often measure the ionosphere in a region with a diameter of about 500 km
- These fields of view can cover regions with significant geomagnetic and geographic variation
- ASIs used for ESF observations are often located near the magnetic equator and the equatorial ionization crests

U.S.NAVAL

RESEARCH LABORATORY

Are the ionosphere and thermosphere constant at these scales?

- Lack of measurements to determine variability on these scales
- Empirical models do not capture variation at this scale
 - Grid size limitations

U.S. NAVAI

LABORATOR

Lack of measurements



Tsunoda and White, 1981

 Large altitude variation with time at the magnetic equator due to the prereversal enhancement



Evidence of possible altitude variations



• Features that are not conjugate



U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH

Dustin Hickey, CEDAR 2019 6



India ASI Case Study

- Sau et al. 2017 showed airglow depletions that are asymmetric with regards to the magnetic equator
- We propose that this asymmetry is due to a variation in airglow altitude across the image



India ASI Case Study

260 • Airglow calculations from empirical models show altitude variation throughout the night but not much in across the 255 250 Altitude (km) 542 540 image 235 230 225 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 00:00 Universal Time (IST-5:30) Hmax, ap=180 SAMI2 results 280 280 indicate that a 275 275 variation in altitude of 25 km could occur on this night 270 270 265 265 Altitude 260 260 255 255 Altitude variation is 10 km larger during geomagnetically active time 250 250 245 245 240 240 + 6 8 10 12 Δ 14 Latitude

U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY

Impact of Airglow Altitude Variation



- These results show the original image (left) and what happens when the northern half of the image is mapped along field lines to the southern half (right)
- This is what would be expected if the features were conjugate



U.S. NAVAL

RESEARCH LABORATORY

Impact of Airglow Altitude Variation



U.S.NAVAL

RESEARCH LABORATORY



- For these images, the northern half is unwarped at a higher altitude and mapped along field lines and projected in the southern half, along with the original image at 250 km
- This shows that an altitude variation can account for the asymmetry

Evidence for the Variation

 Nearby ionosondes provide evidence for a variation in altitude

U.S.NAVAL

LABORATORY

 Ionosondes and ISRs can help to a determine airglow altitude

 Airglow intensity also shows weaker airglow to the north





- 6300 Å airglow altitudes are often assumed to be 250 km at all times and at all locations
- Deviations from this assumed altitude can affect the interpretation of results
- Variation throughout the night is common and variation within an image is possible
- We have shown a case where non-conjugate features lead us to believe there is a significant variation in airglow altitude within the image
- Accounting for this variation:
 - Local measurements from ionosondes, ISRs, GPS, and satellites
 - Running empirical and physics based models

Acknowledgements

This work was done while Dustin Hickey holds a Research Associateship from the NRC at NRL. This work is supported by Midway Research Center and Windie Borodin (8140) of the Naval Center for Space Technology, Naval Research Laboratory, and the U.S. Air Force. The Seychelles All-Sky Camera was provided by the Naval Research Laboratory Capital Procurement Program.

U.S. NAVAL

ABORATORY