

Upper Thermospheric Responses to Forcing from Above and Below during 1–10 April 2010: Results from an Ensemble of Numerical Simulations

Maura Hagan, Kathrin Häusler*, Gang Lu

High Altitude Observatory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado *also at Advanced Study Program, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado

Jeff Forbes, and Xiaoli Zhang

Department of Aerospace Engineering Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado

The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation



AK CEDAR 2015: Understanding Dynamical and Chemical Coupling...

April 1-10, 2010 TIME-GCM Simulations

Optimal Simulation:

 MERRA lower boundary (ca. 30km) conditions
 Modern Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications reanalysis data (3-hourly winds, temperatures, geopotential heights)
 AMIE upper boundary forcing after *Lu et al.* [2015] Assimilative Mapping of Ionospheric Electrodynamics
 Diagnostic Simulations:

 1) Optimal Lower Boundary and Standard Upper Boundary MERRA forcing → "realistic" tides and planetary waves GPI (Geophysical Indices) forcing → based on Kp
 Standard Simulation

2) Constant Lower Boundary and AMIE Upper Boundary average MERRA day (3-hourly March–April 2010 MERRA averages
 → constant tides; no planetary waves Constant Lower Boundary
 3) MERRA Lower Boundary and Constant Upper Boundary constant quiescent upper boundary → 80 sfu; 8 GW; 30kV

Constant Upper Boundary

R CEDAR 2015: Understanding Dynamical and Chemical Coupling...











Constant Lower Boundary



April 2010 (day)

Standard Simulation



Constant Upper Boundary



April 2010 (day)









CEDAR 2015: Understanding Dynamical and Chemical Coupling...



CONTOUR FROM 0 TO 29 BY 1.4

Constant Lower Boundary



Day of Year 2010

Constant Upper Boundary

CONTOUR FROM 0 TO 29 BY 1.4



Day of Year 2010





TIME-GCM TW3 Temperature Amplitude Differences



CEDAR 2015: Understanding Dynamical and Chemical Coupling...

Discussion and Conclusions



TIME-GCM captured the April 5 storm response [Lu et al., 2015]

- GOCE and CHAMP thermospheric winds
- GOCE, CHAMP, and GRACE thermospheric densities
- motivated this study

Longitudinal and temporal response to the solar geomagnetic disturbance

- projects onto TIME-GCM tidal components → pseudo-tides
- adds to the thermospheric tides that originate in the low & middle atmosphere

Nonmigrating pseudo-tides due to the April 5 disturbance

- can be comparable in magnitude to upward propagating counterparts
- largely confined to middle-high latitudes

Strong thermospheric nonmigrating tidal variability during quiescent periods

- attributable to components that propagate upward from below
- underlies the thermospheric response to any solar geomagnetic storm