Auroral Ionosphere: Combining Swarm Ion Flows and THEMIS Imagery with Machine Learning

Jules van Irsel¹, Maia S. Kawamura¹, Kristina A. Lynch¹ ¹Department of Physics, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

Objectives

- There is an abundance of ground-based (GBO) all-sky auroral observatory imagery available (THEMIS, REGO, Etc.)
- Coupled to this imagery, through magnetic field lines, are plasma flow and field measurements at spacecraft altitudes
- These measurements are provided by various satellites (SWARM, CASSIOPE, DMSP, etc.) but conjunctions with imagery are limited
- Can we train a machine learning (ML) algorithm to "remote sense" spacecraft altitude ionosphere with Swarm crosstrack ion flow and THEMIS imagery as training samples
- Ultimately use GBO imagery with ML algorithm as a technique to probe plasma physics of auroral systems

Related Studies

- Clausen and Nickisch (2018) input 5824 manually labelled THEMIS all-sky images pretrained neural network into a providing classification of 6 different auroral types with an 82% accuracy. For a simpler classification of aurora/no *aurora* this accuracy bumped up to 96%.
- Archer et al. (2017) outline intense plasma flows related to auroral current sheets
- Lutz et al. (2019) use ML to improve remote sensing of lake water clarity citizen using satellite imagery and science data
- <u>Vojinovic et al.</u> (2013) use ML to estimate water depths from optical shallow satellite images and sonar measurements
- <u>Wu et al.</u> (2020) survey 530 conjunctions between Swarm and THEMIS images analyzing the relation between Alfvénic structures against FAC and auroral arcs.



2020 CEDAR Virtual Meeting June 22-26





Refining data criteria

Data Collection

Biggest hurdle: constructing a sizeable dataset

Teach ML algorithm like you would a person (Matthew Argall, UNH) Ultimately use transfer learning due to limited data

Data reduction procedure (Lighter shading indicates auto selection):

• Used <u>online conjunction finder</u> with Python script to automate conjunction gathering

Found 108 conjunctions from 12/2013 to 12/2018 as of 06/2020:

• Always need more data which could mean loosening certain criteria: Swarm data quality flag: is 1 okay based on statistics-based machine learning algorithm? Refining winter and night-time: what's dark enough?

GBO has a ~30° FOV, but we want to avoid edges. Or do we? By how much? Will it hurt when using ML? Do we ignore arc quality altogether or do we want only clean sheet-like aurora? Use Clausen and Nickisch classification neural network to filter auroral images?

Next steps

Build a ML MatLab structure database with all 108 events

Train ML algorithm to recreate horizontal ion velocity plots given an image • Test algorithm's accuracy with a validation dataset

Use image with each single trajectory and expand to hypothetical trajectories across the image to create a 2D flow map (See <u>Clayton et al.</u> (2019))

Train with time history at a footpoint vs. spatial distribution of brightness around footpoint

20160331 0220 AllSky TIIVI





Horizontal ion flow velocity Figure 2: superimposed onto GBO image in pixel coordinates (top) and geographic coordinates (bottom). For the pixel coordinates top is south.

Results



Figure 3: Plots of Sanikiluag image brightness along the path of the satellite (top) and horizontal ion velocity along the path of the satellite (bottom). Red lines span auroral arc event. Positive values are westward.

Acknowledgments

This is a continuation of Caitlin Bowers' and Ruthie Nordhoff's Women in Science Project (WISP) who have provided MATLAB/IDL scripts creating data structures. This project uses data made available by the European Space Agency's Swarm mission at <u>swarm-diss.eo.esa.int/</u> as well as image data provided by University of Calgary's THEMIS-GBO array at data.phys.ucalgary.ca. Conjunction finding has been facilitated by the online tool found at swarm-aurora.com/conjunctionFinder/. Satellite footpointing uses the python wrapper <u>Apex Python</u>.

References

Clausen, L. B. N., 2018, *JGR*, <u>10.1029/2018JA025274</u> Archer, W. E., 2017, *JGR*, <u>10.1002/2016JA023789</u> Lutz, L. A., 2019 AGU Fall Meeting, Poster: GC31N-1402 Vojinovic, Z., 2013, J. Hydroinformatics, <u>10.2166/hydro.2013.234</u> Wu, J., 2020, *JGR*, <u>10.1029/2019JA027220</u> Clayton, R. E., 2019, *JGR*, <u>10.1029/2018JA026440</u>

Potential Adjacent Study

- imagery
- aurora





• Limit data to events surrounding, ignore edges of the image Important to focus on auroral signatures and avoid potential edge brightness/unrelated brightness

• Planet is a commercial provider of daily, high resolution satellite

Currently they do not take images at night Potential study: Convince people involved to take images of

Great set-up for conjugacy studies