# **Global Simulations of Ring Current Development**

### Vania K. Jordanova

Space Science and Applications, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA (*vania*@*lanl.gov*)

Challenges to develop a comprehensive model of the ring current with predictive capabilities:

- thorough understanding of the mechanisms involved in the transport, acceleration, trapping, and loss of energetic particles
- develop a self-consistent treatment of the plasma and the fields
- > need to consider **multi-scale coupling** across spatial, temporal, and energy scales

### Acknowledgments:

- Y. Yu and S. Zaharia, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM
- D. Welling and G. Toth, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
- R. Thorne and L. Chen, University of California, Los Angeles, CA





RBSP/ECT

GEM-CEDAR Workshop, Boulder, 23 June, 2013

### **Electrically Self-consistent Models**



-50 -50 -50 -50 -100 -100 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -150 -17.50 -17.50 -17.55 -17.50 -17.75 -18.00 Day of April 2002

Ring current parameters during the 12 August 2000 storm [Ebihara et al., 2004] using the CRCM, which couples the Fok et al. [2001] ring current model with the Rice Convection Model [Wolf et al., 1982] Dst\* from MI-RAM using three electric field descriptions: modified McIlwain, Weimer 96 model, and a self-consistent Poisson equation solution [Liemohn et al., 2004]

## Magnetically Self-consistent Models (RAM-SCB)



## Ring Current Development and Dst Index



The calculated ring current injection & Dst using T04 or self-consistent B field is smaller reflecting the depression of the magnetic field on the nightside during disturbed times [Jordanova et al., 2010]



## **Role of Induced Electric Field**



Transport of low-E (50-250 keV) electrons with IMPTAM model [Ganushkina et al., 2013] from 10 Re to GEO shows **increase in the electron fluxes up to 2 orders of magnitude** when substorm-associated impulsive fields are taken into account  E<sub>ind</sub> slightly decreases ring current pressure in main phase but significantly increases ring current pressure in recovery phase 20.0 10.0

5.0

2.0

1.0

0.5

0.2

 Effect of induced E-field: weaker main phase ring current, but stronger at peak (by ~ 50%) and during recovery phase [Zaharia et al., 2008]

## **Coupling Global MHD with Ring Current Models**



## Ring Current Electron Instabilities



- The ring current anisotropy increases at larger L shells on the dawnside in the self-consistent B field simulations
- The chorus wave growth maximizes in the dawnside MLT sector outside the plasmasphere
- The convective growth rates are larger in non-dipolar B field simulations
- ⇒ These simulation results are consistent with a combined survey of the equatorial wave intensity for chorus waves using multiple spacecraft data from CRRES, THEMIS, Double Star, Cluster and DE1

#### Chorus Wave Simulations [Jordanova et al., 2012]



#### Chorus Wave Observations [Meredith et al., 2012]

DE1, CRRES, Cluster 1, TC1 and THEMIS Latitude Coverage:  $-15^{\circ} \langle \lambda_m \rangle \langle 15^{\circ}$  Wave Magnetic Field Intensity Field: Olson Pfitzer Quiet + IGRF



## **Ring Current Ion Instabilities**





- The RAM-SCB code is used to follow the development of the ring current in the inner magnetosphere and to calculate anisotropic ion fluxes
- The HOTRAY code is used to obtain the pathintegrated gain of EMIC waves from RAM distributions
- The region of strong wave gain (> 20 dB, red contour) agrees with region of strong proton precipitation observed with IMAGE/FUV



IMAGE/FUV proton aurora 19:00 UT, 09 Jun 2001

## **Effects of Ring Current Ion Composition**



- The total ion energy density increases as O<sup>+</sup> contribution to the ring current increases
- The presence of heavy ring current ions (O<sup>+</sup> and He<sup>+</sup>) reduces the wave growth
- ⇒ How does this affect the self-consistently calculated electric and magnetic fields?
- ⇒ What are the effects on the ring current asymmetry, dynamics of low(high)-energy population?



## Model Validations: Comparison with S/C Observations

- RAM-SCB simulations of 1 Nov 2012 storm (Dst=-60 nT) using boundary conditions at 9 Re [Tsyganenko and Mukai, 2003] as functions of incoming solar wind and IMF parameters
- Comparisons with RBSP/EMFISIS magnetometer data showed very good agreement with large-scale magnetic field observations
- The low-energy ~40 keV electron fluxes are in good agreement with MagEIS data during the storm main phase
- The model underestimates the high-energy (100 keV) MagEIS electron fluxes







## **Summary and Conclusions**

The **ring current** is a very dynamic region that couples the magnetosphere and the ionosphere during geomagnetic storms

**New results** emerging from recent simulation studies:

- the role of self-consistent electric and magnetic fields in ring current development
- the importance of the stormtime plasma sheet enhancement and dropout for ring current buildup and decay
- the significant contribution of wave-particle interactions to ion and electron precipitation

### Future studies needed:

• ...

- determine the effects of substorm-induced electric fields on ring current dynamics
- the storm-time **ion composition** and its effects on ring current and plasma wave dynamics
- develop a dynamic plasma wave model of chorus, EMIC, magnetosonic waves
- the contribution of ion and electron precipitation to ionospheric conductances