

University of Colorado Boulder



### Impact of FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2 GNSS RO observations on midlatitude and low-latitude ionosphere specification

#### <u>Chih-Ting Hsu<sup>1</sup></u>, Tomoko Matsuo<sup>1</sup>, and Jann-Yenq Liu<sup>2</sup>

Ann and H. J. Smead Aerospace Engineering Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, CO Graduate Institute of Space Science, National Central University, Taoyuan, Taiwan



# Data Assimilation of RO sTEC

sTEC = 
$$\int n_e dl = -\frac{f^2}{40.3 \times 10^6} \int (n-1) dl = -\frac{f^2 S}{40.3}$$
  
sTEC =  $\frac{(S_1 - S_2)f_1^2 f_2^2}{40.3(f_1^2 - f_2^2)}$ 





#### sTEC data:

Irregularly distributed and sparsely sampled in space and time. Large day-to-day variability.

#### **GIP/TIE-GCM** model:

Global and complete in space and time. Small day-to-day variability.

# **NOAA GSI Ensemble Square Root Filter**

Deterministic update by Ensemble Square Root Filter (EnSRF, Whitaker & Hamill, 2002) explained using Bayes' rule  $\overline{X}^a = \overline{X}^b + K(y^o - H(\overline{X}^b))$ 



$$\mathbf{X}_{n}^{a} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{a} = (\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b}) + \widetilde{\mathbf{K}}(-\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b}))$$
$$\mathbf{K} = [\rho^{b} \circ (\mathbf{P}^{b}\mathbf{H}^{T})][\rho^{b} \circ (\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^{b}\mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{R})]^{-1}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}_{k} = (1 \pm (R/(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^{b}\mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{R})))^{-1}\mathbf{K}$$
$$\mathbf{P}^{b}\mathbf{H}^{T} \sim \frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b})[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b})]^{T}$$
$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}^{b}\mathbf{H}^{T} \sim \frac{1}{N-1}\sum_{n=1}^{N}[\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b})][\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}_{n}^{b} - \overline{\mathbf{X}}^{b})]^{T}$$



56

# **NOAA GSI Ensemble Square Root Filter**

Deterministic update by Ensemble Square Root Filter (EnSRF, Whitaker & Hamill, 2002) explained using Bayes' rule  $\overline{X}^a = \overline{X}^b + K(y^o - H(\overline{X}^b))$ 



## **NOAA GSI Ensemble Square Root Filter**

Deterministic update by Ensemble Square Root Filter (EnSRF, Whitaker & Hamill, 2002) explained using Bayes' rule  $\overline{X}^a = \overline{X}^b + K(y^o - H(\overline{X}^b))$ 



Remark 2: Key to successful implementation of EnSRF is **covariance inflation/localization** to correct for issues resulting from sampling errors.

#### NOAA GSI EnSRF – Covariance Localization

25 experiments with ensemble size 70 (1750 model runs) and different covariance localization length scale for each observing system.

15 \ 5 \ -5 \ -15 \

15

10 -

5

0 -

--5

-10

-15

#### Error of F-3/C Experiment



1000

5000 10000 N/A

Remark 3: Localization length scales are different for each observing system.

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

## **Observing System (Simulation) Experiment**







# **Observing System (Simulation) Experiment**



### A. OSE of the FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC

UT

8

04 UT

Ы

90 08

- Observation: F-3/C RO during Jan. 1, 2013
- Validation data: CODE GIM
- Assimilation cycle: 1 hour





### A. OSE of the FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC

 Observation: F-3/C RO during Jan. 1, 2013



- Valida Remark 4: Assimilation of F-3/C GNSS RO helps
- Assimil introduce day-to-day variability.





	OSSE-F3C-09	OSSE-F3C-13	OSSE-F7C2
Observing System	F3/C January 1 2009	F3/C January 1 2013	F7/C2
Average Number of sounding per hour	96	69	368
Horizontal Localization	10,000 km	10,000 km	5,000 km
Low & midlatitude RMSE (after 24-hour assimilation)			
High-latitude RMSE			







	OSSE-F3C-09	OSSE-F3C-13	OSSE-F7C2
Observing system	F3/C January 1 2009	F3/C January 1 2013	F7/C2
Average number of sounding per hour	96	69	368
Horizontal localization	10,000 km	10,000 km	5,000 km
Low & midlatitude RMSE ratio (after 24-hour assimilation)	0.39	0.51	0.33
High-latitude RMSE ratio	0.52	0.63	0.74

CCAR



	OSSE-F3C-09	OSSE-F3C-13	OSSE-F7C2	
Observing system	F3/C January 1 2009	F3/C January 1 2013	F7/C2	
Average n per hour Horizontal Remark 5: In comparison to the F-3/C, the F-7/C-2 has higher impact on ionospheric specification in mid- and low-latitude region.				
Low & mid (after 24-h High-latitu High-latitu			9 vs 13) RO	





### More Information on FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2

University of Colorado <b>Bo</b>	ulder
Mission Life	5 years
Launch Schedule	June 24, 2019
Launch Vehicle	Falcon Heavy
Scientific Payload	IVM and RF Beacon Instrument
<b>GNSS RO Payload</b>	TGRS
Constellation	6 SC to low-inclination-angle orbit
Period	About 97 minutes
Orbit	Altitude 520 $\sim$ 550 km, 24 degree inclination

## More Information on FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2







## Conclusions

- Optimization of EnSRF parameters (e.g. covariance localization) is important for each observing system. (Hsu et al., JGR, 2017)
- OSE shows that data assimilation of F-3/C RO sTEC can introduce observed variability into the model. (Hsu et al., ESS, 2018)
- OSSEs show that F-7/C-2 can improve the mid- and low-latitude ionospheric specification considerably by 33%. (Hsu et al., ESS, 2018)
- F-7/C-2 are going to be launched on 24<sup>th</sup> June !

#### Relevant Poster: DATA03, Poster Section, Tuesday





# References

- Hsu, C.-T., Matsuo, T., Yue, X., Fang, T.-W., Fuller-Rowell, T., Ide, K., & Liu, J.-Y. (2018). Assessment of the impact of FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2 GNSS RO observations on midlatitude and low-latitude ionosphere specification: Observing system simulation experiments using Ensemble Square Root Filter. J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 123, 2296–2314.
- Hsu, C.-T., Matsuo, T., & Liu, J.-Y. (2018). Impact of assimilating the FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC and FORMOSAT-7/COSMIC-2 RO data on the midlatitude and lowlatitude ionospheric specification. *Earth and Space Sci.*, *5*, 875–890.
- Whitaker, J. & Hamill, T. M. (2002) Ensemble data assimilation without perturbed observations. *Mon.* Wea. *Rev.*, 130, 1913–1924.





18