An Overview of Data Science

Farzad Kamalabadi^{1,2}, Matthew Grawe¹, Brian Harding³

¹Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering ²also at Dept. of Statistics **Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign**

³now at University of California, Berkeley



NSF CEDAR Workshop: June 16, 2019

From Discovery to System Science



- CEDAR science is transitioning
- Data science fundamentals are increasingly needed
 - Enabling new science from existing data
 - Designing new sensing modalities
 - Utilizing data to make forecasts

Advent of Data Science



Compute Information. Science, 332(6025), 60 –65. http://www.martinhilbert.net/WorldInfoCapacity.html

Expand the workforce needed to develop and use Big Data technologies.

Advance the core scientific and technological means of managing, analyzing, visualizing and extracting information from large, diverse, distributed, and heterogeneous data sets in order to accelerate progress in science and engineering research. Specifically, it includes research to develop and evaluate new algorithms, technologies, and tools for improved data management, data analytics, and e-science collaboration environments.

"In the same way that past Federal investments in information-technology R&D led to dramatic advances in supercomputing and the creation of the Internet, the initiative we are launching today promises to transform our ability to use Big Data for scientific discovery..."

Dr. John P. Holdren, Assistant to the President and Director of the White House Office of Science and Technology Policy.

Data to Information: powerful approaches for turning data into information – machine learning, cloud computing, and crowd sourcing.

Data to Decisions: Harness and utilize massive data in new ways and bring together sensing, perception and decision support to make truly autonomous systems that can maneuver and make decisions on their own.

Human-Computer Interaction: Developing scalable algorithms for processing imperfect data in distributed data stores; and Creating effective human-computer interaction tools for facilitating rapidly customizable visual reasoning for diverse missions.

Data Science: Data Life Cycle

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20502

February 22, 2013

MEMORANDUM FOR THE HEADS OF EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES

John P. Holdren FROM: Director

Increasing Access to the Results of Federally Funded Scientific Research SUBJECT:

Encourage the publication of all science products so they are discoverable and accessible, to enable reproducibility, and to ensure that they can be adapted to solve new problems.

Here we focus on Data Analytics

Gartner Hype Cycle for Emerging Technologies, 2017

Basic Elements of Learning Theory (using simple applications)

• Many core data science elements can be introduced using very simple, yet powerful, ideas.

- A linear relationship clearly exists. How might this be established, mathematically?
- Among all possible lines, choose the line that is the closest to the data (in some sense).

$$m^*, b^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{m,b} \sum_{i=0}^{N} d(m, b, x_i, y_i)$$

- A linear relationship clearly exists. How might this be established, mathematically?
- Among all possible lines, choose the line that is the closest to the data (in some sense).

$$m^*, b^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{m,b} \sum_{i=0}^{N} |mx_i + b - y_i|^2$$

• A linear relationship clearly exists, but there is an erroneous data point (an outlier).

- A linear relationship clearly exists, but there is a erroneous data point (an outlier).
- Outliers do not represent the true relationship, but change the relationship that is inferred.

Outliers

• How might we handle outliers?

We could **remove them manually.**

We could **explore the data for patterns** that identify an outlier boundary. (unsupervised learning)

We could **train a classifier** using a set of manually-identified outliers. (supervised learning)

• Relative to outliers, data model errors often form a **cluster**.

- How might we identify the cluster? One approach:
 - 1. Start with a random outlier boundary.

- How might we identify the cluster? One approach:
 - 1. Start with a random outlier boundary.
 - 2. Calculate the means of the two groups (call them *clusters*).

- How might we identify the cluster? One approach:
 - 1. Start with a random outlier boundary.
 - 2. Calculate the means of the two groups (call them *clusters*).
 - 3. Assign each data point to the nearest mean.

- How might we identify the cluster? One approach:
 - 1. Start with a random outlier boundary.
 - 2. Calculate the means of the two groups (call them *clusters*).
 - 3. Assign each data point to the nearest mean.

- How might we identify the cluster? One approach:
 - 1. Start with a random outlier boundary.
 - 2. Calculate the means of the two groups (call them *clusters*).
 - 3. Assign each data point to the nearest mean.

• This is known as 1D k-means clustering.

Binary Classification

• If we have a collection of manually-identified outliers, we could **infer** an outlier boundary using the entire collection to **predict** the validity of new data.

Binary Classification

- One approach: assume that outliers occur as the result of weighted coin tosses.
 - Parameterize the coin weight and choose the boundary that maximizes the probability of the entire dataset occurring ("**maximum likelihood**").

$$m_c^*, b_c^* = \underset{m,b}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{i=0}^N p(y_i \mid d(m^*, b^*, x_i, y_i), m_c, b_c)$$

Binary Classification

- One approach: assume that outliers occur as the result of weighted coin tosses.
 - Parameterize the coin weight and choose the boundary that maximizes the probability of the entire dataset occurring. ("**maximum likelihood**").

Dimensionality Reduction—Familiar Example

• Data can be expanded using a **fixed** basis (e.g., Fourier series; $\omega = n\omega_0$).

Dimensionality Reduction—More General Ex.

- Does this data exist across two dimensions?
 - Technically, yes.
 - Practically...?
- How might we assess the *true* dimensionality of the dataset?

- One possible approach:
 - Find the rotational change of basis that best explains the dataset variance.

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = \frac{XX^T}{N-1}$$
 (sample covariance)

• The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the sample covariance describe the appropriate change of basis.

• What if we project onto the direction of the eigenvector with the largest eigenvalue?

- In this example, 94% of the dataset variance lies in a onedimensional subspace.
- The data is "almost" onedimensional!
- This is known as **principal component analysis**.

- Principal component analysis learns a basis for the data that is adaptive.
 - This is directly related to the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the data matrix.

$$\widetilde{\Sigma} = U\Lambda U^{T}$$

$$X = \sqrt{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} u_{i} v_{i}^{T} \overset{k \leq d}{\approx} \sqrt{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} u_{i} v_{i}^{T}$$
(singular value decomposition) (low-rank approximation)

(singular value decomposition)

A system Identification Perspective of Learning Theory

System Identification

• If input and output data from an unknown system is available, how can we "discover" information about the system?

- Relationships in the sciences are often described by linear differential equations (e.g., Maxwell's equations).
- In discrete-time (i.e., in data space), these relationships are described using difference equations.

$$y[n] + 2y[n-1] = 3x[n] + x[n-2]$$

• A general version: rational transfer function models

$$A(q)y[n] = \frac{B(q)}{F(q)}x[n] + \frac{C(q)}{D(q)}e[n]$$

• A more workable class of models: rational transfer function models

$$A(q)y[n] = \frac{B(q)}{F(q)}x[n] + \frac{C(q)}{D(q)}e[n]$$

• A, B, C, D, F are lag polynomials, e.g., $A(q) = 1 + a_1 q^{-1} + \cdots + a_{n_a} q^{-n_a}$

• A more workable class of models: rational transfer function models

$$A(q)y[n] = \frac{B(q)}{F(q)}x[n] + \frac{C(q)}{D(q)}e[n]$$

- A, B, C, D, F are lag polynomials, e.g., $A(q) = 1 + a_1q^{-1} + \cdots + a_{n_a}q^{-n_a}$
- The system is defined by the weights on past samples of the input, output, and noise.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_{n_a} & b_1 & b_2 & \dots & b_{n_b} & f_1 & f_2 & \dots & f_{n_f} & c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_{n_c} & d_1 & d_2 & \dots & d_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- Many well-known linear system models fall into this category, depending on which polynomials are used.
 - *B*(*q*): finite-impulse response (**FIR**)
 - A(q): autoregressive (AR)
 - C(q): moving average (MA)
 - A(q), C(q): autoregressive moving average (**ARMA**)
 - A(q), B(q): autoregressive w/ exogeneous input (ARX)
 - A(q), B(q), C(q): autoregressive moving average w/ exogenous input (ARMAX)
 - B(q), F(q): output error (**OE**)
 - *B*(*q*), *F*(*q*), *C*(*q*), *D*(*q*): Box-Jenkins (**BJ**)

• Given input and output data, how might we estimate the system, or, equivalently, estimate the parameter vector θ ?

- Given input and output data, how might we estimate the system, or, equivalently, estimate the parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\theta}$?
 - One approach: choose θ that leads to the smallest (in some sense) one-step prediction error

$$\hat{y}[n|n-1,\boldsymbol{\theta}] = \left[1 - \frac{D(q)A(q)}{C(q)}\right]y[n] + \frac{D(q)B(q)}{C(q)F(q)}x[n]$$

$$\theta^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(y[n] - \hat{y}[n|n-1, \theta])$$

e.g., $f = x^2$ (minimization in the least squares sense)

• Systems often exhibit nonlinear behavior, and may change over time.

$$\hat{y}[n|n-1,\boldsymbol{\theta}] = g(\boldsymbol{\phi}[n],\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

fixed window of past input and output data

• The general approach is the same as the LTI case, but the functional form of the one-step prediction error is more general.

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f\left(y[n] - \hat{y}[n|n-1, \boldsymbol{\theta}]\right)$$

• Common approach: expand the mapping using a basis

$$g(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \alpha_k g_k(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{p})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n & p_1 & p_2 & \dots & p_n \end{bmatrix}^T$$

- Examples:
 - Wavelet expansions (g_k are then dilated and scaled versions of a "mother" basis function)
 - Sigmoid, tanh, Gaussian functions

• Layered/composed expansions are **neural networks**.

$$g_{k}^{(2)}(\phi) = \sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{(2)} \kappa(\phi^{(2)}, \beta_{l}^{(2)}, \gamma_{l}^{(2)}) \qquad \phi_{k}^{(2)} = g_{k}(\phi)$$

$$g_{k}^{(3)}(\phi) = \sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{(3)} \kappa(\phi^{(3)}, \beta_{l}^{(3)}, \gamma_{l}^{(3)}) \qquad \phi_{k}^{(3)} = g_{k}(\phi^{(2)})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_{k}^{(M)}(\phi) = \sum_{l} \alpha_{l}^{(M)} \kappa(\phi^{(M)}, \beta_{l}^{(M)}, \gamma_{l}^{(M)}) \qquad \phi_{k}^{(M)} = g_{k}(\phi^{(M-1)})$$

• Layered/composed expansions are **neural networks**.

• Time-varying systems can be described using **recurrent** networks.

Learning Theory Caveats and Open Directions

- With nonlinear systems, cost function minimization presents special challenges.
 - Nonlinear cost functions are usually non-convex, and have many local minima.
- Solutions for θ that have the lowest minimization error do not necessarily perform well on new data (poor generalization error).
- Understanding the behavior of generalization error in different situations is currently a very active topic of research in machine learning and data science.
 - Validation data sets are critical