# Universität Rostock



Traditio et Innovatio

# Motivation

- Variability in the middle atmosphere is driven by a variety of small and large scale atmospheric waves.
- Specular Meteor Radars (SMRs) have been used for decades to study the middle atmosphere dynamics but with limited time, horizontal and vertical resolution due to the **limited** number of meteor detections



**SMR:** low resolution in time and space => not sensitive to small-scale wave dynamics

SMR networks allow to increase the number of meteor detections and improve resolution in time and space. Not all meteors are usable. New simple and scalable SMR networks to increase the meteors' detections and to improve the measurement accuracy!!

# Background



SIMO (Single-Input Multiple-Output):

multiple Rx antennas (antenna array)

to estimate  $\theta_R$  (angle of arrival =

meteor's location).

Meteor map distribution



- Longitude
- Limited number of meteor detections. **Meteors with low elevation angles** removed
- Mean winds can be estimated

## **SMR networks based on SIMO**



- Meteor counts increases by adding Rx sites around the Tx sites (Network).
- Area coverage increases by adding new networks (Tx + Rxs).
- 3D wind fields can be estimated.
- Networks (Txs) are isolated by using different frequencies (diversity on Tx).
- Frequency diversity does not allow Rxs<sup>-</sup> (from Network 1) listen the Tx (from Network 2)
- **Rx sites require large space.**
- Tx sites require high power. [Stober and Chau 2015]

SMR networks increase number of meteor detections but they are not scalable. **Our SMR network based on MIMO => Scalable (All Rx sites listen all** Txs) and simple (complexity located only in the Tx side)



# A novel meteor radar network based on MIMO to study the MLT dynamics

J. M. Urco<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Chau<sup>1</sup>, T. Weber<sup>2</sup>, J. Vierinen<sup>3</sup>, R. Volz<sup>4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Germany; <sup>2</sup>University of Rostock, Germany; <sup>3</sup>University of Tromso, Norway; <sup>4</sup>MIT Haystack Observatory, USA;

# wind (zonal) / m/s 12°E 14°E 16°E 18°E

# 1 xS • MISO (Multiple-Input Single-Output): multiple Tx antennas to estimate $\theta_T$ (angle of departure). For MISO or MIMO: **Recovery (decoupling) of transmitted signals on the Rx side requires** the use of orthogonal waveforms on transmission. **CW** radar + pseudo random coded sequences (quasi-orthogonal signals) allows to minimize cross-interference between Txs. Meteors' locations can be estimated respect to the Tx side ( $\theta_T$ ). SMR network based on SIMO 50°N 12°E 16°E 18°E Longitude • Meteor's location respect to the Rx site. • Meteor's location respect to the Tx site. • Different networks use different frequencies. • New networks (Txs) require new Rx sites. • Rx sites require multiple antennas (large space and various digital receivers). • Use of a broad spectrum bandwidth. • Tx sites require one single antenna. • No interference between Txs **MISO** with waveform diversity: **Complexity moved to the Tx site. Rx** sites are simple and can be installed in schools, gardens, etc.

**MISO** radar

- **Only one working frequency needed. MIMO** with waveform diversity:
- With MIMO, meteor location estimated respect to both the Tx and **Rx site (more accurate). Number of usable meteors increases. Problem:** High cross-interference between transmitted waveforms!!

# SMR network based on (coherent) MIMO

### **MIMO** radar



MIMO (Multiple-Input Multiple-Output): multiple Tx and Rx antennas to estimate  $\theta_R$  and  $\theta_T$ , respectively.

### SMR network based on MISO/MIMO



Longitude

• Different networks use different waveforms (pseudo-random sequences) • New networks (Txs) can use previous Rx sites.

• Rx sites require one single antenna and one digital receiver (small space). Ideal to be installed in school, gardens. • One single frequency for all networks. • Tx sites require multiple antennas. • High interference between Txs.

with $\Phi = [A_1$	$A_2$	••
and $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$	$x_2$	•••

### **Traditional Matched Filter estimator (MFE** 1000-

Nyquist requires a sampling twice the signal bandwidth. MFE requires more measurements than unknowns (M>N)

 $\hat{X}^{MFE} = \Phi^H y,$ 

### **Compressed sensing approach (CS)** CS claims that a signal can be recovered even when M<N, if the signal X is Ksparse and the sensing matrix $\phi$ satisfies the RIP condition.

 $\hat{X}^{CS} = \arg\min||y - \Phi X||_2^2,$ subject to  $||X||_0 < K$ ,

**CS** allows us to recover meteor signals in a interference environment even when the number of measurements is much less than the number of unknowns.



10°E 12°E 14°E 16°E Longitude

*Remote Sensing*, *PP*, 1–11, 2018.

Chau, J. L., Urco, J. M., Vierinen, J. P., Volz, R. A., Clahsen, M., Pfeffer, N., and Trautner, J.: Novel specular meteor radar systems using coherent MIMO techniques to study the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. 2019.

Urco, J. M., Chau, J. L., Weber, T., Vierinen, J. P., and Volz, R.: Sparse signal recovery in MIMO specular meteor radars with waveform diversity, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 2019 [accepted].

J. Vierinen, J. L. Chau, N. Pfeffer, M. Clahsen, and G. Stober: "Coded continuous wave meteor radar," Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 2016



LEIBNIZ-INSTIT **ATMOSPHÄREN** 





# **Results and applications**

**3D** wind flow



# References

Urco, J. M., Chau, J. L., Milla, M. A., Vierinen, J. P., and Weber, T.: Coherent MIMO to Improve Aperture Synthesis Radar Imaging of Field-Aligned Irregularities: First Results at Jicamarca, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and