SCUBAS: A python-based numerical model to estimate electrical surges in submarine cables during geomagnetic disturbances

<u>S. Chakraborty<sup>1</sup></u>, M. D. Hartinger<sup>2</sup>, D. H. Boteler<sup>3</sup>, and X. Shi<sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center For Space Science and Engineering Research, Virginia Tech, USA <sup>2</sup>Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>3</sup>Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada <sup>4</sup>High Altitude Observatory, NCAR, Boulder, CO, USA



**CEDAR 2023** 



#### **1.** Introduction

## **Introduction: Risk to Submarine Cables**

- A submarine communications cable (SCC), a cable laid on the sea-bed to carry telecommunication signals across stretches of ocean and sea.
- Previously, copper wires / coaxial cables used, but since 90s, they are being replaced by optical fibers.
- Impacted / damaged by natural hazards such as submarine landslides and tsunami.
- Recently, there is increased concern about Space weather effects (High Impact, Low Frequency (HILF) events).
- Objective: Build a model for risk assessment of extreme geomagnetic storm-driven interruptions to cable operations and instruments along the SCC.







#### 2. Model

## Methodology: Model Flow Diagram



- > Model can digest cable position, magnetic data, and ocean-earth conductivity model
- > Compute transfer function ( $T_x$ ) that relates, seafloor electric field ( $E_f$ ) to surface magnetic field ( $B_s$ )
- $\succ$  Compute  $E_f$  using  $T_x$  and  $B_s$  for each cable section
- Combine the effect of different cable sections to get total effect on cable
- > We validate the model outputs against a few analytic solution using synthetic magnetic field data, pre-defined transfer functions  $T_x$ , and cable geometry.

#### 3. Python

### **Python-based implementation**

#### **Documentation**





- > Documentation of the model is available in <u>readthedocs.io</u>.
- > The library source code can be found on the <u>SCUBAS GitHub</u> repository.
- ➤ Installer pip, pip3.

4

#### **3.** Validation

# Case Study: Synthetic $B_s$ and $T_x$



- Synthetic magnetic data:  $B_s = \sum_{i=1}^6 A^{(m)} \sin(2\pi f^{(m)}t + \phi^{(m)})$ , m frequency components.
- > Analytical solution:  $E_a(t) = \sum_{i=1}^6 |T_x|^{(m)} A^{(m)} \sin(2\pi f^{(m)}t + \phi^{(m)} + \theta^{(m)})$
- > Numerical estimate:  $E_n(t) = ifft(B_s[f] \times T_x[f])$
- > Correlation analysis suggest ( $\rho$ ) that model (black curves) is able to replicate analytical solution (red curves) with high confidence.



#### **3.** Validation

## **Case Study: Electrically Short and Long Cables**



- Same  $T_x$  used for previous case study.
- ➢ We synthetically feed an induced electric field  $E_f = 1 \frac{mv}{km}$ , to an electrically short (left panel, 100km) and long (right panel, 10000 km).

#### 3. Validation

### Testing the model on a real event: March 1989



"EVENT"	Δτ, min	Measured PFE VOLTAGE EXCURSION (V)	Modeled cable voltage variation (V)
SSC, 01.30 UT, March 13	~ 2	~ 75	63
11.10 UT, March 13	~ 25	~ 300	223
21.45 UT, March 13	~ 6	~ 450	383
01.30 UT, March 14	~ 25	~ 700	727









## **Conclusions, Future work, and Open Questions**

- We developed a model framework to estimate geomagnetic induction effect on the submarine cables.
- > The model is available in **GitHub**, model is published, you can '**pip install scubas**'.

### Future Extension (Science):

- <u>Risk Assessment:</u> How vulnerable are submarine cables during Carrington-type events? Is there any chance to observe an *'internet apocalypse*' (i.e., global loss of internet coverage, <u>https://www.livescience.com/solar-storm-internet-apocalypse</u>)?
- 2. <u>Uncertainty Quantification</u>: Quantify uncertainty in the calculated voltage / electric field.
- 3. <u>Nowcasting</u>: Provide real time update on induced electric field and potential along the submarine cables.

# Thank you!

**Citation:** Chakraborty S, Boteler DH, Shi X, Murphy BS, Hartinger MD, Wang X, Lucas G and Baker JBH (2022) Modeling geomagnetic induction in submarine cables. *Front. Phys.* 10:1022475. doi: 10.3389/fphy.2022.1022475

> This work supported by NASA 80NSSC19K0907 shibaji7@vt.edu



2

Ocean Depth, km

3

4

5

0

 $10^{-2}$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

 $f_0$ , in Hz

 $10^{-6}$   $10^{-5}$   $10^{-4}$ 

### **Transfer Function**



- > Estimate reflection coefficients for each layer (Γ), and effective reflection coefficients ( $\Gamma_e$ )
- > Estimate impedance (Z) for each layer and effective impedance ( $Z_f$ ) at the sea-surface.
- > Use sea water depth (d) to estimate transfer function (Boteler et al. 2003)

$$\frac{E_f}{B_s} = \frac{Z}{\mu_0} \cdot \frac{2}{\left(1 + \frac{Z}{Z_f}\right)e^{kd} - \left(1 - \frac{Z}{Z_f}\right)e^{-kd}}$$

where: Z and k are characteristic impedance and propagation constant of the sea water layer.

#### \*. DSTL

### **Distributed Source Transmission Line Model**



#### \*. Validation

# Testing the model on a real event: March 1989 Date: 13-14 March 1989 200 mV/km [mV/km] $\vec{E}_{x}$ (a) 200 mV/km [mV/km] $\tilde{H}$ (b)

12 UT

00 UT

Time [UT]

CS-W[FRD] DO-1[FRD]

DO-2[STJ]

DO-3[STJ]

DO-4[STJ]

DO-5[STJ] MAR[STJ] DO-6[HAD]

CS-E[HAD]

12 UT

— CS-W DO-1 DO-2 DO-3 、100 ∨ I DO-4 DO-5 [Volts] MAR DO-6 — CS-E <u>6</u> 00 UT 12 UT 00 UT 12 UT Time [UT]

Date: 13-14 March 1989

00 UT