

The ICEBEAR Radar



ICEBEAR \Rightarrow Ionospheric Continuous wave E-region Bistatic Experimental Auroral Radar

- 50 MHz VHF E-region bistatic radar experiment
- 49.5 MHz centre freq. with 100 kHz bandwidth
- Fully digital
- digital waveform generation
- digital reception
- GNURadio/UHD for hardware interface and control
- DigitalRF for data storage
- Independent signal/RF paths for all antennas
- allows for processing and/or re-processing of data to enhance different characteristics
- Horizon viewing (typically up to 45° elevation, can go higher)

Technical Details

- Tx: 10 channels (antennas) / linear array (only 2–4 needed)
- \sim \sim 800 W (max.) continuous wave (CW) each with self- and remote-monitoring
- Rx: 10 channels (antennas) / T-configuration (July 2019)
- Core: Ettus Research X300 digital transceivers (Tx/Rx)
- Signal: pseudo-random phase modulation on CW carrier
- Timing: phase coherence between Tx and Rx (from accurate GPS timing)
- Simultaneous high temporal and spatial resolutions
- \rightarrow easily changed/configured
- FoV: 'imaging' or 'mapping' field-of-view (FoV)
- \rightarrow Nominal FoV down boresight (ñorth)
- \hookrightarrow Capable of imaging behind Rx
- Resolution nominal/typical:
- temporal: 1.0 s
- range/azimuth/elevation: 1.5 km
- Doppler: 10 Hz (\sim 30 m/s)

ICEBEAR FoV



Utilisation of Aircraft for Calibration of the ICEBEAR Radar

B. Pitzel¹, G. C. Hussey¹, D. R. Huyghebaert^{2, 1}, M. F. Ivarsen¹, R. Rohel¹, D. Galeschuk¹, S. Marei¹

¹ISAS, Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

Airplane Echoes

²Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Radar Remote Sensing, Kühlungsborn, Germany CEDAR WORKSHOP 2025 22–27 June, 2025 Des Moines, Iowa

IRRI DATE: 25 JUNE EMAIL: brian.pitzel@usask.ca

Baseline Visibility

- Main interferometric ICEBEAR data product
- Cross-correlation of signals on antenna pair
- Normalised visibility between antennas 1 and 2 from Farley et al. (1981):

$$\mathcal{V}_{12}(r,\omega) = rac{\left\langle V_1(r,\omega)V_2^*(r,\omega)
ight
angle}{\left\langle |V_1(r,\omega)|^2
ight
angle^{1/2} \left\langle |V_2(r,\omega)|^2
ight
angle^{1/2}}$$

 $arg(V_{12}(r, \omega))$: phase difference between antenna pair $|V_{12}(r,\omega)|$: coherence of visibility $V_{1,2}(r,\omega)$: complex voltage sample from antenna 1, 2 *r*: range gate ω : Doppler frequency

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$: ensemble (temporal) average

Spherical Wave Harmonic Transform

- Performed for each range-Doppler bin
- Synthesis: 45 unique baseline visibilities $V_{ii}(k_0) \Rightarrow 1$ sky brightness map $B(\Omega_k)$

$$B(\Omega_{k}) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} Y_{lm}(\Omega_{k}) \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{2\pi^{2}(-j)^{l}} \sum_{ij=1}^{Q} V_{ij}(k_{0}) J_{l}(k_{0}r_{i}) Y_{lm}^{*}(\Omega_{k})$$

$$I \text{ and } m \text{ : spherical harmonic degree and order}$$

$$Y_{lm}(\Omega_{k}) \text{ and } Y_{lm}^{*}(\Omega_{i}) \text{ : spherical harmonic functions}$$

$$k_{0} \text{ : wave number}$$

$$B(\Omega_{k}) \text{ : brightness distribution in the sky}$$

$$Q V_{ij}(k_{0}) J_{l}(k_{0}r_{i}) J_{lm}(\Omega_{k}) Y_{lm}^{*}(\Omega_{k}) = 0$$

$$V_{i}(k_{0}) \text{ : measured visibilities}$$

$$r_{i} \text{ : distance between antennas for a given baseline}$$

$$\Omega_{k} \text{ : angular components of } k \text{ (pointing direction)}$$

$$\Omega_{i} \text{ : angular components of baselines}$$

Interferometric process: Visibility phase is crucial!

Measured Visibility Phase

Q : number of baselines

• Phase of point source contains expected phase and error

$$\mathsf{rg}(V_{ij}) = \phi_{ij} = k(\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{i}} - \mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{j}}) \cdot \mathsf{n} + \Delta \phi_{ij}$$

 $J_{l}(k_{0}r_{i})$: spherical Bessel function of the

 ϕ_{ii} : phase difference between antenna pair $k(D_i - D_i) \cdot n$: expected visibility phase k : radar wave number **D** : vector from origin to antenna **n** : unit vector from origin to airplane $\Delta \phi_{ii}$: error in phase measurement Average over many echoes : $\overline{\Delta \phi_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum^{N} \Delta \phi_{ij}$



- 52.5°N
- 52.25°N
- 52°N
- 51.75°N
- 51.5°N
- 51.25°N
- 51°N

Airplane Phase Calibration











Summary

Future Work

- Investigate elevation dependence on azimuth in airplane calibrated scientific data \rightarrow Direction dependent calibration? \rightarrow Result of multipath effects?
- Use meteor shower radiant as test for calibration on scientific target

Acknowledgements



- doi:10.1029/2021RS007358.
- irregularities, J. Geophys. Res, 86(A3), 1569–1575, doi:10.1029/JA086iA03p01569. 4. R. Palmer, S. Vangal, M. Larsen, S. Fukao, T. Nakamura, M. Yamamoto (1996). Phase calibration of vhf spatial interferometry radars using stellar sources, *Radio Science*, *31*, 147–156, doi:10.1029/95RS02319.

Airplane Calibration Results: Airplane Echoes

Airplane Calibration Results: Meteor Echoes

Summary and Future Work

- ICEBEAR very reliant on signal phase
 - ightarrow phase calibration essential
- Airplanes routinely seen by radar
 - \rightarrow Point source + high SNR = good calibrator
- Generate baseline calibration based on difference b/w expected and measured phase of airplane echoes • Excellent results for determining airplane AoA
- Mixed results for scientific targets
- Compare airplane calibration to celestial source calibration
 - continuous-wave radar for studies of the E region of the ionosphere, *Radio Science*, 54, 349-364, doi:10.1029/2018RS006747.
- 2. A. Lozinsky, G. Hussey, K. McWilliams, D. Huyghebaert, D. Galeschuk (2022). ICEBEAR-3D: A low elevation imaging radar using a non-uniform coplanar receiver array for E region observations, Radio Science, 57,

University of Saskatchewan

3. D. Farley, H. Ierkic, B. Fejer (1981). The absolute scattering cross section at 50 MHz of equatorial electrojet