COMPARISON OF SHELL CURRENT DISTRIBUTION INSIDE THE IONOSPHERE DURING QUIET AND DISTURBED PERIODS.





ABSTRACT

Romashets and Vandas (2020, 2022) derived Euler potentials for field-aligned currents (FACs) above the ionosphere, introducing a local parameter g controlling current density. The case of constant g was modeled in Romashets and Vandas (2025) to analyze vertical shell current distribution. Modeling with variable g is more complex. Here, we present results for shell current density, magnetic field, and Euler potentials inside the ionosphere, using a realistic, observation-based g derived from Korth et al. (2010) FAC data for quiet and disturbed periods.

INTRODUCTION

- In previous research, we constructed Euler potentials to describe Earth's magnetosphere-combining Earth's dipole field, field-aligned currents, the ring current, and surface currents modeled by Dungey's term.
- Field-aligned currents, or Birkeland currents, connect the magnetosphere to the ionosphere and close through horizontal currents known as shell currents. These are key to regulating Earth's magnetic environment.
- While FACs can be traced from satellite data, their ionospheric closure paths—especially Pedersen and Hall currents—are harder to define due to the complex conductivity structure of the ionosphere.
- To overcome these challenges, we divide the system into three regions and incorporate both the dipole field and FAC-generated fields. This lets us calculate current densities in a realistic, finite-thickness ionosphere.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I sincerely thank Professors Evgeny Romashets and Marek Vandas for their valuable guidance and support, which greatly contributed to this work. I also gratefully acknowledge the NSF GEM/CEDAR 2025 organizers for providing travel and lodging support.

The smooth transition of the magnetic field is modeled using the function:

This function is approximated by a power series:

Once the coefficients are known, β is constructed as:

Divide 100–400 km into 4 subintervals; fit each separately and apply $f_k(\alpha)$ to keep β smooth and continuous. Current density is calcualted after obtaining

smooth β , we get $J_r = 0$, $J_{\varphi} = 0$, and $J_{\theta} = -\frac{3B_0 g_0 r_0}{\mu_0 \Delta r r \sin \theta} \cdot \operatorname{sech}^2 \left(\frac{6(r - r_c)}{\Delta r} \right)$

This study develops continuous Euler potentials to model charged-particle motion with smooth magnetic field transitions. A tanh function ensures continuity, with adjustments to across four regions. The approach applies to ionospheric currents, solar wind interactions, and magnetospheric boundaries, providing a useful tool for space weather and plasma studies.

S. $KARKI^1$ E. $ROMASHETS^1$ M. $VANDAS^2$ ¹LAMAR UNIVERSITY, TEXAS ²ASTRONOMICAL INSTITUTE OF CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PRAGUE, CZECH REPUBLIC

METHOD

Euler Potentials for Inner & Outer Regions:

$$\alpha = B_0 r_0^3 \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r}$$

Below 100 km: $\beta = -\phi$ Above 400 km: $\beta = -\phi + g_0 r^2 \frac{\cos \theta}{r_0^2 \sin^4 \theta}$

Using Tanh Function for Smooth ϕ Field

$$B_{\varphi} = \frac{B_0 g_0 r_0}{2r \sin \theta} \left\{ 1 + \tanh \left[\frac{6(r - r_c)}{\Delta r} \right] \right\}$$

$$st(r) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \tanh\left(\frac{6(r-r_c)}{\Delta r}\right) \right]$$

$$sm(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{I} c_i \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^n$$

$$\beta = -\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{I} c_i R_i(r) \Theta_i(\theta)$$

CONCLUSION



Fig 1: Profiles of (a) φ component of the magnetic field and (b) θ component of the electric current density.





• The ϕ component of the magnetic field (for $\theta = \frac{\pi}{6}$) shows a smooth transition across the ionosphere, using $g_0 = 0.006$ to represent strong geomagnetic activity.

• The electric current density (in the ϕ direction) peaks around 300 km altitude, confirming the expected behavior of shell currents in the ionosphere.

• The tanh (step-up) function used to smooth the magnetic field is validated, showing excellent fit (Figure 2a).



magnetic field.

• The total magnetic field, recalculated using:

matches the original field very well (Figure 2b), validating the model's accuracy.

DISCUSSIONS



REFERENCES

1. Romashets E., Vandas M., 2025, JGRA, 43(1), 193. doi: 10.5194/angeo-43-193-2025 2. Korth H., Anderson B. J., Waters C. L., 2010, AnGeo, 28, 515. doi:10.5194/angeo-28-515-20106595 3. Romashets E., Vandas M., 2020, JGRA, 125, e28153. doi:10.1029/2020JA028153 4. Romashets E., Vandas M., 2022, JGRA, 127, e30171. doi:10.1029/2021JA030171

Fig 2: (a) Profiles of Fit of the step-up function, red line, by a sum of power functions, dashed blue line, in the ionosphere. (b) The given (red line) and model (dashed green line) φ component of the

 $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta$

• The model successfully reproduces smooth, realistic variations in magnetic field and current density, consistent with observations during geomagnetic storms.