

Calculated Photoelectron Flux Validation under Solar Minimum **Condition using High-resolution Cross sections** Md Nazmus Sakib¹, Emmaris Soto², Erdal Yiğit¹, J. Scott Evans², R. R. Meier¹



Observational day condition:

F10.7 < 80 solar flux unit,

• Number of sunspots = 0.

A detailed description of these

geomagnetic indices can be found

in matzka et al., 2021, Local noon

time observed F10.7 cm solar radio flux is presented in this figure in

 $(1 \text{ s.f.u.} = 10^{-22} Wm^{-2}Hz^{-1})$

Kn is low < 4

solar flux unit.

An is low < 20

1. Department of Physics and Astronomy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA; 2. Computational Physics Inc., Springfield, VA 22151, USA

Introduction

Atmospheric Explorer –E and AURIC comparison

Solar Minimum Condition

Terrestrial low latitude Photoelectron flux spectrum observed by Atmospheric Explorer - E (AE-E) during a solar minimum condition has been compared with calculated photoelectron flux spectrum by Atmospheric Ultraviolet Radiance Integrated Code (AURIC, Strickland et al, 1999) using high-resolution photoabsorption and photoionization cross sections for N2 and O. Comparison between theory and measurement shows convincing agreement in low energy regime (0 - 100 eV) at all altitudes in between 150 km to 300 km where photoelectron transport effects are negligible Our comparison accounts well resolved peaks between 20 eV to 30 eV due to photoionization of N2 and O at 25.2 eV and 27.2 eV, respectively, by the solar 304Å He II line. We also found the well-known large dip around 2.5 eV caused by the vibrational-rotational excitation of N2. Our study suggests that the numerical calculation done in AURIC is not unreasonable based on the comparison between data and model except 0 - 5 eV energy range. For the high altitude and high latitude data-model comparison, it is expected to account for pitch angle distribution of photoelectron flux. In future, we will employ the data observed by Fast Auroral Snapshot Explorer (FAST) for high-energy regime comparison



> Atmospheric Ultraviolet Radiance Integrated Code.

- Calculate thermospheric emission spectra from 800 to 10000Å. > Major Inputs - photoionization and photoabsorption cross sections in both high- and low-resolution**: High-resolution solar EUV flux spectra; Geolocation, date, time.
- > Major Outputs photoionization, photodissociation, photoelectron energy loss (by excitation, ionization, and interaction with the ambient plasma), chemical reactions, emission through a variety of allowed and forbidden atomic and molecular transitions.
- > High-res Photoionization and photoabsorption cross sections for atmospheric constituents N2, O and updated solar spectrum in high-resolution (Soto et al., 2023). O2 needs to be updated.

> High-res AURIC showed remarkable increment of ionization in electron density profiles at E-region (Sakib et al., 2023).

- Aim
 - · To validate calculated photoelectron fluxes, we compare AURIC results with high energy resolution photoelectron fluxes measured by the Photoelectron Spectrometer Experiments on board the Atmospheric Explorer E (AE-E) satellite [Lee et al., 1980]

e⁻ density, n. (e-m⁻¹) Figure 1 : Example of AURIC capability after utilizing higholution cross sections and solar irradiance in the model

Arecibo ISR and AURIC electron density profiles comparison Morning, Noon, Afternoon, 02/09/2012

Photoelectron Flux and Source Function

Flux : A concept incorporating density and velocity important for gas kinetics and macroscopic formalism is called "flux" of a scaler quantity. Example: energy flux, particle flux, or photoelectron flux. Photoelectrons : Electrons produce at upper atmosphere due to photoionization or electron flux emitted from atmospheric atoms/molecules by interaction with photons Important absorption processes: Photodissociation. photoionization, dissociative photoionization $0 + h\nu \rightarrow 0^+ + e^ N_2 + h\nu \rightarrow N_2^+ + e^-$

 $0_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 0_2^{\frac{1}{2}} + e^{\frac{1}{2}}$

Example

Ionization of O by solar He+ emission at 304A Photon energy = $hv_s = \frac{hc}{\lambda_s} = \frac{12397}{304} = 40.78 \ eV$ Ionization into ground state of $0^+ = 13.62 \ eV$ Excess energy = 40.78 - 13.62 eV = 27.16 eV

What happens to excess energy? Kinetic energy of photoelectron

Important source of secondary ionization and dayglow emission. > Heat source for plasmasphere.

 $\pi F_{\alpha}(\lambda)$ - Unattenuated solar flux of wavelength (λ) Most of the solar energy deposited below 100 km and insignificant except major geomagnetic storm or high/extreme solar condition AURIC uses a discrete version of solar irradiance spectrum $\pi F_0(\lambda_i)$ where λ_i refers to the i-th line and flux unit : photons cm-2S- $\pi F(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s) = \pi F_0(\lambda_i) \exp[-\tau(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s)] - \dots - (1)$ So, optical depth. $\tau = \ln(\pi F_0) - \ln(\pi F)$ Or in other words,

 $\tau = \tau(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s) =$ $\sum_{l} \tau_{l}(\lambda_{i}, z, \mu_{s}) = \sum_{l,k} \sigma_{lk}^{photo}(\lambda_{i}) \int n_{l}(s) ds ----(2)$

The production of an ionic or neutral state by absorption of photons from the flux $\pi F(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s)$ is given by

 $\delta P_{lki}(z, \mu_s) = n_l(z)\pi F(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s) \sigma_{lk}^{photo}$

The accompanying expression for production of photoelectrons

 $\delta S_{lki}(z, \mu_s, h\nu_i - I_{lk}) = n_l(z)\pi F(\lambda_i, z, \mu_s) \sigma_{lk}^{photo} - - - (4)$

So, photoelectron energy =Photon energy - Ionization potential energy. Equation 4 is used to specify the source unction S_{2}



Figure 2 : AE-E orbital configuration during a typical perigee pass on December 29, 1975





rce Eunction

titude = 171 kn

titude = 105 km



Photoe

Altitude = 203 km

titude = 136 km

resolution and the 0.01Å resolution solar spectrum for high- resolution

AURIC



Photoelectron Flux distribution by PES(AFE on 1975 December 2

Figure 3 : Photoelectron energy spectra between 1 and 500 eV observed by

AE-E and AURIC Photoelectron Flux compari (0-100 eV) High- and Low-resolution cross section

photoelectron spectrometers (Doering et al., 1973) onboard AE-E as a function of local time and solar zenith angle.



Figure 7: Photoelectron source functions vs energy are shown at an altitude 203 km, 171 km. Figure 8: Photoelectron source function ratios vs energy are shown at an altitude 136 km, and 105 km when utilizing the high-resolution (black) and low-resolution (red) AURIC. The computations were made using a binned NRLEUV solar spectrum for low-203 km, 171 km, 136 km, and 105 km. The ratios are taken with respect to the photoelectron source function calculated using the high-resolution cross sections: low-resolution/high-resolution.

· The photoelectron source functions are in general agreement at energies less than 200 **26**8 eV; however, diverge at greater energies · At 500 eV the low-resolution ratio decreases by at least an order of magnitude and then increase by over an order of magnitude at 650 eV. Future work:

Model

Observation

Figure 9: Geomagnetic & solar EUV conditions for the month

of December 1975 (31 days)

by solar He-II (304Å) radiation.

We will calculate PE fluxes at an upper boundary of 1000 km to the FAST (Pfaff et al., 2001) altitude of 3700 km without attenuation and neglecting plasmasphere effects (Woods et al., 2003).

Results and Conclusion

· Below 200 km, well resolved peaks are observed in the spectrum corresponding to

Vibration – rotation excitation of N2 inelastic electron scattering is observed at 2.5 eV

· AURIC prediction of PEF above 5 eV up to 60 eV is most consistent with data

production of O+ and N2+ in various electronic state from photoionization of N2 and O

References

Strickland, D. J., J. Bishop, J. S. Evans, T. Majeed, P. M. Shen, R. J. Cox, R. Link, and R. E. Huffman "Atmospheric Ultraviolet Radiance Integrated Code (AURIC): Theory, software architecture, inpuresults." Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 62, no. 6 (1999): 689-742.

Sakib, Md Nazmus, Emmaris Soto, Erdal Yiğit, J. Scott Evans, and Robert Meier (2023). " Validation of Eregion Model Electron Density Profiles using High-Resolution Cross Sections." Journal of Geophysical Research

puzzle: The need for high-resolution photoionization cross sections and solar irradiance in models. Journal of

Matzka, J., Claudia Stolle, Yosuke Yamazaki, O. Bronkalla, and A. Morschhauser. "The geomagnetic Kp index and derived indices of geomagnetic activity." Space Weather 19, no. 5 (2021): e2020SW002641.

Doering, J. P., C. O. Bostrom, and J. C. Armstrong. "The photoelectron-spectrometer experiment on Atmospher Explorer," Radio Science 8, no. 4 (1973): 387-392. Lee, J. S., J. P. Doering, T. A. Potemra, and L. H. Brace. "Measurements of the ambient photoelectron spectrum

from Atmosphere Explorer: I. AE-E measure ments below 300 km during solar minimum conditions." Planetary and Space Science 28, no. 10 (1980): 947-971.

Pfaff, R., C. Carlson, J. Watzin, D. Everett, and T. Gruner. "An overview of the Fast Auroral SnapshoT (FAST) satellite " The FAST mission (2001): 1-32.

Woods, Thomas N., Scott M. Bailey, W. K. Peterson, Stanley C. Solomon, Harry P. Warren, Francis G. Eparvier Howard Garcia. Charles W. Carlson, and James P. McFadden, "Solar extreme ultraviolet variability of the Xflare on 21 April 2002 and the terrestrial photoelectron response." Space Weather 1, no. 1 (2003).

Acknowledgement

- 1. National Science Foundation Grant No. 1849014.
- 2. Dr. Phil Richards, for providing AE-E data (private communication
- 3. NASA Space Physics Data Facility for data availability.



Space Physics (under review).

Soto, E., Evans, J., Meier, R. R., Tashiro, M., Sakib, M. N., & Yiğit, E. (2023). A missing piece of the e-regio

Geophysical Research : Space Physics (under review).