# Effect of lonospheric Variability on the **Electron Energy Spectrum produced from Incoherent Scatter Radar Measurements**

## **Oliver Stalder** Björn Gustavsson

Institute of Physics and Technology, UiT – The Arctic University, Tromsø, Norway

# Abstract

The inversion of electron density profiles to electron energy spectra is performed for the first time with a fully dynamic ionospheric density model. Ion densities in the E-region may vary considerably during auroral precipitation [1], in particular the ratio of NO<sup>+</sup> and  $O_2^+$ , affecting the effective recombination rate. The comparison shows that due to the intense production of  $O_2^+$  ions, the produced energy spectra are systematically shifted towards lower energies.

# Method

The electron energy spectrum can be found from electron density profiles



along the magnetic field, for example with the ElSpec algorithm [2]. The electron continuity equation is integrated, taking into account the altitude varying electron production  $q_e$  from auroral precipitation. Varying the parametrized differential number flux  $\phi$ , affecting  $q_e$ , allows us to search for the best parameters for  $\phi$  by fitting modelled electron density profiles to density profiles from radar measurements.

## IonChem model

A model for ionospheric chemistry (IonChem) in the E-region was developed, taking into account relevant species and reactions between them. For every species, the continuity equation formulated, taking auroral production, recombination and chemical reactions into account:

$$\frac{ln_k}{dt} = q_k - l_k \tag{1}$$

where the index k stands for different species, n is the density, q is production, and *l* are losses. The convective term  $\nabla \cdot (n_k \boldsymbol{v}_k)$  is neglected. For electrons, production is due to auroral precipitation, and the production rate profile is linear in respect to the differential number flux  $\phi$ [3, 4, 5]:

 $q_e = A\phi$ 

Whereas losses are due to recombination:

 $l_e = \alpha_{eff} n_e^2$ 

where  $\alpha_{eff}$  is the effective recombination rate:

 $\alpha_{eff} = \frac{\alpha_{NO} + n_{NO} + n_{NO} + \frac{\alpha_{O_2} + n_{O_2} + n_{$ 

 $\alpha_{NO^+}$  and  $\alpha_{O_2^+}$  are the recombination rates of the respective ion species [6]:

 $\alpha_{NO^+} = 4.2 \cdot 10^{-13} (T_e/300)^{-0.39} \text{ m}^{3/\text{s}}$ 



shown. The uppermost panel shows the differential energy spectrum after the initial run

 $\alpha_{O_2^+} = 1.9 \cdot 10^{-13} (T_e/300)^{-0.5} m^3/s$ 

For ions, production and losses are due to chemical reactions, in the form of

#### $\pm \alpha \ nk \ nj$

with  $\alpha$  being the reaction rate. Ions of major neutral species (N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, O<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and  $O^+$ ) have also a production term proportional to the electron production. The system of coupled ordinary differential equations (ODE) described by Equation (1) is integrated using a stiff ODE solver to account for the large range of reaction rates.

### Integration of IonChem with ElSpec

The IonChem model is then combined with ElSpec, performing the inversion based on the ionospheric densities and corresponding effective recombination rate. As the integration of the electron continuity equation requires solving the entire system of coupled ODE in IonChem, this becomes a computationally expensive operation. Instead, an iterative approach is adopted where the effective recombination rate, and thereby the ionospheric composition is assumed constant. A production rate profile is found, and used in IonChem to find updated ionospheric densities and effective recombination rate. These are then used to start the next iteration i of ElSpec.



#### Time [s]

Panel a shows the initial NO<sup>+</sup>/ $O_2^+$  ratio, obtained from IRI. Panel b shows the NO<sup>+</sup>/ $O_2^+$ ratio after 6 iterations. Clearly visible is the variation introduced by IonChem. Furthermore, while the ratio is on the NO<sup>+</sup> side in the IRI model, the ratio is inverted in panel b, explaining the lower recombination rate in the figure above.

Relative Variation in  $\alpha_{eff}$  between Iterations



- between iterations
- 2. Reversed  $NO^+/O_2^+$  ratio, compared to IRI conditions
- 3.  $NO^+/O_2^+$  ratio of 1, with no other ions
- 4. 3/10 in number density of NO<sup>+</sup>,  $O_2^+$  and O<sup>+</sup> ions each, and 1/10 N<sup>+</sup>
- 5. 6/10 in number density of  $O_2^+$ , 3/10 of NO<sup>+</sup> and 1/10 of O<sup>+</sup>
- 6. IRI conditions

All of the 6 runs show only minor deviations. Most notably, when starting with a  $NO^+/O_2^+$ ratio of 1, both of them show increased levels at higher altitudes throughout the 5 minute window evaluated.





Over the course of a few iterations, a converging solution is found. The solution may not be unique. Therefore, different starting conditions have been investigated. We find that generally, the ionospheric densities converge to similar levels, independent of starting conditions.



The convergence is investigated. Both mean and maximum relative variation in effective recombination rate over all height and altitude bins are decreasing monotonically until the 6<sup>th</sup> iteration. After that, they settle around a relative variation of 10<sup>-7</sup>, corresponding to the relative accuracy of the employed ODE solver.

#### Time [s]

The difference between measured and modelled electron density is shown, normalized by the standart deviation of the measurements.

#### References:

[1] Jones, R. A., and M. H. Rees. "Time Dependent Studies of the Aurora—I. Ion Density and Composition." Planetary and Space Science 21, no. 4 (April 1, 1973): 537–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(73)90069-X. [2] Virtanen, I. et al. "Electron Energy Spectrum and Auroral Power Estimation From Incoherent Scatter Radar Measurements." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 123, no. 8 (2018): 6865–87. [3] Rees, M. H. Physics and Chemistry of the Upper Atmosphere. Cambridge Atmospheric and Space Science Series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511573118. [4] Sergienko, Tima, and V. Ivanov. "A New Approach to Calculate the Excitation of Atmospheric Gases by Auroral Electrons." Annales Geophysicae 11 (January 1, 1993): 717–27. [5] Fang, X. et al "Electron Impact Ionization: A New Parameterization for 100 EV to 1 MeV Electrons." Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics 113, no. A9 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JA013384. [6] Schunk, R., and Nagy, A.. Ionospheres: Physics, Plasma Physics, and Chemistry. 2nd ed. Cambridge Atmospheric and Space Science Series. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.

