Ionospheric/Thermospheric Space Weather Issues

R. W. Schunk and J. J. Sojka

Center for Atmospheric and Space Sciences Utah State University Logan, Utah 84322-4405

> CEDAR Tutorial June 29, 1995

> > .

### Outline

- Applications
- Weather Features
- Causes of Weather
- Status of Weather Modeling
- Requirements for Forecasting

#### Applications

Weather disturbances in the ionosphere-thermosphere system affect the following:

- Over-The-Horizon (OTH) Radars
- Communications
- GPS Surveying
- Navigation Systems (GPS and VLF)
- Satellite Drag
- Spacecraft Charging (in Trough)
- Surveillance
  - Optical Emissions
  - Radar Altimetry
- Induced EMF at Ground
  - o Pipelines
  - Power Grids
  - Long Telecommunications Cables

ح

#### Weather Features

- Sun-Aligned Arcs
- Auroral Arcs
- Plasma Patches
- Boundary Blobs
- Flux Transfer Events
- Traveling Convection Vortices
- SAID Events
- SAR-arcs
- Anomalous T<sub>e</sub> in E-region
- Sporadic E
- Spread F
- Equatorial Bubbles
- Descending Layers
- Magnetic Storms
- Substorms
- Gravity and Tidal Waves
- Scintillations



Rino et al (1983)

**11 NOVEMBER 1981** 



# Tsunoda (1988)

- · Chatanika radar scan
- · On-going precipitation
- Evidence for rapid ionization in F-region



# DE-2 Satellite Northern Hemisphere Winter



Northward IMF Multicell Pattern Killeen et al (1985)

Sporadic - E



Szuszczewicz

4



#### Causes of Weather

- Structured Precipitation
- Structured Electric Fields
- Structured Downward Heat Fluxes
- Time Varying Electric Fields
- Time Varying Precipitation
- Plasma Instabilities
- Upward Propagating Gravity and Tidal Waves







Figure 18. An implied convection pattern consistent with observed optical emissions and plasma convection velocities measured by DE 2. The flow lines describing cells III, IV, and V are at the same potential and may be connected 'fingers' or separate convection cells. From Carlson et al. [1988].

Electric Field Structure

15

ION DRIFT METER, DE-2 UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS OCTOBER 17, 1981 1634-1646 UT



I KM/SEC

Frank et al (1986)

### **Magnetospheric** Parameters

16

#### **Parameters**

- Convection
- Precipitation
- Birkeland Currents
- Heat Flows

#### Dependence

- IMF  $(B_x, B_y, B_z)$
- Kp

#### **Issues**

- Statistical Patterns
- Instantaneous Patterns
- Spatial Structure
- Temporal Variations
- Transition Time-Scales

#### Statistical Patterns

#### Southward IMF

- o 2-Cell Convection
- o Precipitation

#### Northward IMF

i

- o 4 2-Cell Convection
- o 3-Cell Convection
- o Distorted 2-Cell Convection
- o Turbulent
- o Sun-Aligned Arcs
- o θ-Aurora
- o Uniform Precipitation (in polar cap)
- Precipitation in Classical Oval

Heppner-Maynard Convection





Hardy et al



Hardy et al





Figure 10. Distorted two-cell convection patterns for a strongly northward IMF and for  $B_y > 0$  (left dial) and  $B_y < 0$  (right dial) in the northern hemisphere. From Heppner and Maynard [1987].

ズズ



Figure 11. Schematic illustration of sun-aligned arcs in the polar cap for a northward IMF. From Buchau et al. [1983].

ズン

#### Status of Northward IMF Convection

24

Rich and Hairston (1994)

- Comprehensive Study Using DMSP F8 and F9 Satellites
- The development of more than 2 convection cells for northward IMF is either uncommon or nonexistent. A distorted 2-cell pattern occurs, not a 4-cell pattern.

Weimer (1995)

- Comprehensive Study Using DE 2 Satellite Data
- For northward IMF, evidently there are 4 convection cells, rather than a distortion of the 2-cell pattern.



Figure 21. Variation of the interplanetary magnetic field  $(B_x, B_y, B_z, B_T)$  versus universal time for a representative 14-hour period. From Roble et al. [1987].

### Status of Weather Modeling

- Climatology
- Sun-Aligned Polar Cap Arcs
- Traveling Convection Vortices
- Plasma Patches
- Tides and Gravity Waves
- SAID Events
- Storms and Substorms

## **GLOBAL IONOSPHERE MODEL**

- 3-dimensional, time-dependent
- 100-1000 km altitude range
- Densities & velocities for electrons and NO+, O2+, N2+, O+, N+, He+
- Ion and electron temperatures

#### Inputs Needed

- Magnetospheric electric field
- Auroral oval
- Neutral atmosphere
- Neutral wind
- Magnetospheric Heat Flow

### **108 RUNS OF USU IONOSPHERIC MODEL**

- Season Equinox
  - June Solstice
  - December Solstice
- Solar Activity High F10.7 = 210
  Mid F10.7 = 130
  Low F10.7 = 70
- Geomagnetic Activity

- High	Kp = 6.0
- Mid	Kp = 3.5
- Low '	Kp = 1.0

- Heppner-Maynard Convection (Bz < 0)</li>
   By > 0
  - -By < 0
- Northern and Southern Hemispheres

Sojka and Schunk (1994)

## Model Inputs

- Heppner-Maynard Convection
- Hardy Auroral Oval
- MSIS Atmosphere
- Hedin Winds

1 10

• Displaced Poles

Diurnally Reproducible Results

"Climatology"

# O+ 300 km

# NPDE04 UT 0500 NPDE04 UT 1700



3.5 130 84357

# 3.5 130 84357



44 TVarilation

# O+ 300 km

## NPDE13 UT 1700 NPDE22 UT 1700





Kp=1

KroVariation Kp==65

- 1

# O+ 300 km

32

# NPDE04 UT 1700 NPDE05 UT 1700



23-Jan-91 21:24:42 pl2ascslas.f npde04.asc

-w-W

npde04.asc npde05.asc

5 Segassana ariation

St

33 Ionospheric Structure <u>r</u>CGLT CĠL • 06 ۲ 18 THULE VDD ASIP FIELD OF VIEW TYPE 1 SUNALIGNED ARCS **TYPE 2 PATCHES** DAWN-DUSK DRIFT (PREDOMINANT) ANTI-SUNWARD DRIFT 100 - 250 M/S 0.1-1 Km/s

# Buchau et al (1983)



Sojka et al (1993)

Α

DE

33 ·



- · Southward IMF
- "A" yields uniform flow at 500 m/s
- "DE" yields strong flow in dusk sector at 1 Km/s
- · change every 1/2 hour



### NCAR-TGCM Simulation

- Equinox Transition Study
  - September 18-19, 1984
- Parameterized Convection and Precipitation Models for Entire Period
  - NOAA & DMSP Particle Data
  - AMIE Technique for Convection
  - Semi-diurnal Tides
- Several Quiet Days Followed by Storm

Crowley et al. (1989)



△₫ (<sup>-</sup>*kV*)

しっ



Meridional Neutral Wind



## Forecasting: What's Needed?

- Requirements range from hours to 30 or 90 days forecast of the ITM system.
- The latter arise from the need to define optimal usable communication links.
- Typically, 12 hours to a day is a good forecast for severe weather related problems, i.e., satellite drag, spacecraft damage, etc.
- How can we do this?

an a contra and the part of the contra the second state of the second state of the second state of the second s

An example on 14 April 1994, from Murray Dryer, SEL, NOAA, shows forecasters had no evidence of a CME ejection. However, soft x-ray images from YOHKOH (Japanese satellite) were FAXed to SEL. They showed a very extended short-lived filament. Forecast was made ~ 2-3 days to reach Earth and 7 days to reach Ulysses.

en en en en strukter til dit dit strukter i en til statistikken en til en strukter som en en en en en en en en

#### Forecasting: Science Issues

- When a CME arrives at the Earth, how do you convert this knowledge into convection and precipitation patterns? How long will the storm last?
- For non-storm conditions, how do you forecast  $K_p$  and *Dst* variations? That is, how do you forecast convection and precipitation pattern variations?
- Models of convection and precipitation do not exist for severe storms. A cross-tail potential of 216 kV was observed, but this corresponds to a  $K_p = 14$ .
- The 'average' convection pattern for northward IMF has not been clearly identified.
- The spatial structure seen in the ionosphere-thermosphere system needs to be incorporated into the forecast models. Multi-grids or nested models are required.
- More work needs to be done on establishing how the convection and precipitation patterns vary with time.
  - AMIE approach is the state-of-the-art, but needs validation.
  - o PCO is important.
- Need to predict substorm onset.
- Real-time monitoring is important to update forecast models.
- Need a specification of upward propagating gravity and tidal waves.