# Testing Theories of Gravity Wave Transports and Their Effects on Wind Temperature and Composition

# by Richard Walterscheid Aerospace Corporation

#### 1995 CEDAR Workshop June 27, 1995

# Topics

Waves

Wave-mean-state interactions

Observable phenomena

Diagnostics

Examples



#### **Wave Mean State Interactions**

Wave Forcing of the Mean State

Driven by wave fluxes

Nonacceleration theorem

Chemically induced forcing

WMSI Phenomena in the Stratosphere and Lower Mesosphere

Equatorial QBO and SAO

Sudden Stratospheric Warmings

WMSI Phenomena in the Upper Mesosphere and Lower Thermosphere

Cold summer mesopause

Pseudotides

Wind, temperature and composition disturbances

#### **Wave Fluxes**

Mean state changes induced by wave fluxes

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\Psi}}{\partial t} = \dots - \frac{1}{\overline{\rho}} \frac{\partial F_z(\Psi)}{\partial z}$$

$$F_z(\psi) = \overline{\rho} \overline{w'\psi'}$$

Wave stress

$$\Psi = u$$

Sensible heat flux

$$\psi = c_p T$$

Species flux

$$\psi = r, \psi = n$$

#### **Nonacceleration Conditions**

Waves

Linear

Conservative

Steady

No critical level  $(\overline{u} \neq c)$ 

# Forcing of Mean Wind by Momentum Flux



#### **Critical Levels**

Critical level:

#### $\overline{u}-c=0$

Critical level favored location for wave-mean-state interactions

Strong enhancement of wave dissipation as vertical wavelength and group velocity become small

Orlanski-Bryan condition for wave breakdown satisfied for fairly small-amplitude waves

E-P relation implies sign reversal for vertical momentum flux at critical level

Wave dissipation forces decent of Critical level

\_\_\_\_

Orlanski-Bryan condition for wave breakdown

 $u' \sim c - \overline{u}$ 

**E-P** relation

energy flux =  $(c - \overline{u}) \times$  momentum flux





Figure 7. Same as Figure 4 except Z<sub>break</sub> = 10.



Figure 8. Same as Figure 5 except Z<sub>break</sub> = 10.



#### Chemical Forcing of Mean-State Minor Constituent Profiles

Vertical flux of constituent mixing ratio is zero when nonacceleration conditions apply and chemical production and loss are nil

Vertical flux can be induced by chemistry even when nonacceleration conditions apply

Strobel (1981) parameterized effects of chemistry in terms of eddy diffusion coefficient

Schoeberl et al. (1983) modified Strobel's parametization to incorporate turbulent mixing (Lindzen, 1981; Garcia and Solomon, 1985, Bjarnason et al., 1987; LeTexier et al., 1987)

Walterscheid and Schubert (1989) used five-reaction model of wave-perturbed O<sub>3</sub> chemistry near the mesopause to calculate chemically induced wave fluxes of minor constituents



#### Waves

Obey characteristic dynamical and dispersion relations

Characteristic frequencies, wavelengths (tides, planetary waves)

Vertical phase propagation is opposite to vertical group propagation (except acoustic waves)

Amplitude  $\propto \overline{\rho}^{-1/2}$  for steady vertically propagating conservative waves



Poker Flat, Alaska 11 October 1981 Horizontal Wind Profiles (one-hour average values)

Figure 4. Time sequence of the horizontal velocity profiles shown in Fig. 3. Velocity scale shown in lower left corner of upper panel. Dashed lines indicate approximate height of velocity extreme of the quasi-sinusoidal contours. (Note that left-to-right profile placements are not precisely uniform in time.)

# Diagnostics

Wave flux divergence

Nonequilibrium conditions

Anomalous or perturbed conditions

Do not obey wave relations

#### Examples

Vincent and Reid (1983) Fritts and Vincent (1987) Gille and Lyjak (1984) Czechowsky et al. (1979) Lieberman and Hays (1994) Hecht et al. (1995)



Fig. 11 (a) Wave flux divergence (m s<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>), for 29 January 1979. (b) Acceleration of zonal mean wind speed (m/s day), for 29 January 1979.



Figure 11



Figure 12



Fig. 3. Three-dimensional graph of the mesospheric echo power as a function of height and time for autumn.



FIG. 6. Height profiles of the upward flux of zonal momentum (u'w') for the period 11-14 May 1981 (open circles) and the associated body force F (solid circles).







# Conclusions

Wave Mean State Interactions driven by wave fluxes

Primary diagnostic is relationship between changes and fluxes

Important to measure time and space variations of different quantities simultaneously