# Electromagnetic Energy Input to the Atmosphere

Alex T. Chartier CEDAR 21 June 2021



# Summary

- What is Poynting flux?
- Where does it come from?
- Where does the energy go?
- Why should we care?
- What are the major scientific challenges?
- What do we hope to achieve?



In examining the role of the ionosphere-thermosphere system in the energy exchange with the magnetosphere at high latitudes, we need to recognize that the net current and electric field are the result of all processes occurring along the field line, including both ionospheric and magnetospheric influences. Separating these two contributions in terms of currents and electric field is not feasible- measuring the winds, conductivities, and electric fields simultaneously over a range of spatial scales to evaluate the ionospheric influences at high latitudes is extremely challenging. This has led to the concept of applying Poynting's theorem to the high-latitude ionosphere.

Richmond and Thayer, 2000

Poynting's theorem (derived from Maxwell's equations):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{B^2 + E^2/c^2}{2\mu_0} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} \right) + \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$$

EM energy density

Poynting vector

Joule dissipation

- E Electric field
- $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{B}}-\text{Magnetic field}$
- J current density
- c speed of light
- $\mu_0$  Permeability of free space

In the ionospheric case, we can substitute:

 $\mathbf{B} = -\nabla V_0 + \delta \mathbf{B}$ 

Where:  $V_0$  – scalar magnetic potential ("main" geomagnetic field)  $\Phi$  – electric potential

And note that the main field term vanishes:

 $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi \times \nabla V_0)$ 

Then, Poynting's vector **S** can be expressed:



## Effects of measurement error on Poynting flux

**E** and  $\partial$ **B** measurement sign errors can lead to erroneous upward Poynting flux. Data inconsistency (e.g. different measurement locations) can lead to large errors.



Note: **S** can genuinely point upward in cases of strong neutral wind driving (e.g. thermospheric "flywheel" effect)

In a circuit, the electromagnetic energy flows from the source to the load between the currents



In the quasi-DC treatment (periods > ~10 mins), the timedependent term is very small in the ionosphere, so the Poynting flux approximately balances the Joule dissipation:

$$S_{\parallel}^{\text{LEO}} \approx \pm \int_{90 \text{km}}^{200 \text{km}} \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{E} dz$$

Notes:

#1 **S** is almost constant from ~600 km up to the acceleration region (>1500km), so the exact spacecraft altitude is not important.

#2 EM energy tends to be dissipated through the ionosphere, though it can also flow out horizontally

#3 The **wave (AC) term is not small** – can be 30% or more *Verkhoglyadova et al.* (2018)



### Where does it come from?

Poynting flux in the magnetosphere originates in solar wind driving. In "perfect M-I coupling" FACs carry all EM energy to the ionosphere. When FACs saturate, particle accelerations occur so that EM energy is converted to kinetic energy (Knight, 1973).

DC flux believed to map, while Alfvénic flux converges in auroral region



### Where does the energy go?

To understand the dissipation of EM energy in the atmosphere, remember Ohm's Law applies to the reference frame of the material. In case of nonzero neutral winds **U** in Earth frame, apply a transformation:

$$\mathbf{E'} = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B}$$

Then substitute in:



### Where does the energy go?

#### Partitioning

#### EM energy => Joule heating + Mechanical energy

 $q_{EM}(z) = q_J(z) + q_m(z)$ 

$$q_J(z) = \sigma_P(z) [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B}]^2$$

 $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B}$ , so  $q_J \propto (\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V})^2$ 

Joule "frictional" heating proportional to the difference in ion and neutral velocities  $q_m(z) = \mathbf{u}(z) \cdot (\mathbf{j}(z) \times \mathbf{B})$ 

 $q_m$  is not necessarily positive

The altitudinal variation of the currents is controlled by variations of the Hall and Pedersen conductivities,  $\sigma$ . Unit magnetic field vector is **b**.

$$\mathbf{J} = \sigma_P \mathbf{E}'_{\perp} + \sigma_H \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{E}'_{\perp} + \sigma_{\parallel} E'_{\parallel} \mathbf{b}$$

The resulting ion and electron velocities and current directions vary with altitude





Hall and Pedersen conductivity-altitude profiles explain why most heating occurs between ~90-200 km, whereas ion drag is most effective higher, around 300 km

# Where does the energy go?



15

Thayer and Semeter (2005) show the importance of the neutral winds in controlling energy deposition and heating:



### Where does the energy go?

Modeling indicates neutral winds drive upgoing Poynting flux that has important effects on the magnetosphere (Ridley et al., 2003).

The "flywheel" effect occurs when the thermosphere stores energy from **ExB** convective driving, then sends the energy back via dynamo action.



Thermospheric winds and the electric potential generated by them. From Richmond (1995)

Space Exploration

Poynting flux represents probably the largest unknown energy input to the upper atmosphere and ionosphere

#### **Ionosphere-Thermosphere energy inputs:**

- Solar flux ~1000GW globally
- S ~30-180 GW hemispheric (Knipp et al., 2011; DMSP)
- K.E. ~10-35 GW hemispheric (Newell et al., 2011; DMSP)

During storms:

- **S** >350 GW hemispheric (Cosgrove et al., 2013; FAST)
- K.E. ~300 GW hemispheric (Zhang & Paxton; 2008, SSUSI/GUVI)

Unlike solar flux, Poynting flux is poorly observed and highly structured, both spatially and temporally.

Models show huge discrepancies in Joule Heating rates.

Empirical and physics-based models show totally different magnitudes and spatial structures

23:45 UT, 14 Dec 2006, South Hem. From GEM-CEDAR Challenge (Rastätter et al., 2016). Note different color scales are used.



Data and models show huge 1000K disagreement in Joule heating rates, indicating important small-scale processes (Lamarche et al., 2021)



Joule Heating in the cusps may drive up to 800% neutral density enhancements, affecting satellite drag







- To determine Poynting flux, measure **E** and **∂B** carefully
- S<sub>II</sub> has important DC and AC (wave) components
- Other terms are needed to understand where the energy goes (neutral winds, conductivities etc.)
- Downward S<sub>II</sub> energy is closely linked to kinetic energy (auroral precipitation). Partition occurs in the acceleration region (~1500 4000 km)
- Occasionally see upward S<sub>II</sub>, indicating net flow of E.M. energy from lonosphere/Thermosphere to Magnetosphere

## Grand Challenge sessions Thursday and Friday

- Please participate in the sessions from 10-12 MDT.
- There will be talks and Decadal White Paper discussions
- Last year's session is up on YouTube and contains great talks: search "CEDAR Grand Challenge: Poynting Flux"
- Preliminary running order:

Thursday (all times MDT)	Speaker	Title						
10:00	Ridley	Energy deposition from a fluid's perspective						
10:20	Pakhotin	Northern preference for terrestrial electromagnetic energy input from space wea						
10:40	Weimer	Using HASDM neutral densities to validate thermosphere density predictions b						
11:00	Pena	Ionospheric heating due to auroral precipitation: sensor measurements and mo						
11:10	Verkhoglyadova	Role of Alfven waves in electromagnetic energy input to Earth's atmosphere						
11:20	Rodriguez-Zuluaga Equatorial Spread F-related Poynting flux seen at LEO altitudes							
11:40	Decadal white pape							
Friday (all times MDT)								
10:00	Deng	Poynting Flux in the Dayside Polar Cap Boundary Regions From DMSP Meas						
10:20	Zhang	Alfvénic Heating and Thermospheric Upwelling in the Cusp						
10:40	Billett	Statistical Poynting flux patterns from SuperDARN and AMPERE: Is Poyntir						
11:00	Zesta	Plans for Poynting flux measurement on the upcoming GDC mission						
11:20	Knipp	Nine satellite years of DMSP Poynting flux—Insight and Even More Questions						
11:30	Decadal white pape	er discussion						

Space Exploration